The State of Juvenile Probation Activity in Texas

Statistical and Other Data on the Juvenile Justice System in Texas

THE STATE OF JUVENILE PROBATION ACTIVITY IN TEXAS

Statistical and Other Data

on the Juvenile Justice System in Texas

for Calendar Year 2015



Texas Juvenile Justice Department

David Reilly, Executive Director Braker H Complex 11209 Metric Blvd. P.O. Box 12757 Austin, Texas 78711-2757

Telephone 512.490.7130 Fax 512.490.7717 www.tjjd.texas.gov

Report Number RPT-STAT-2015

This and other publications may be downloaded in a PDF format on TJJD's website at: www.tjjd.texas.gov

Published October 2017

Acknowledgement

The Texas Juvenile Justice Department expresses its appreciation to the many juvenile probation officers, juvenile court judges and others whose prompt and continued submission of their statistical and other data have made this report possible.

Table of Contents

Overview	1
The Texas Juvenile Probation System	2
Introduction	2
Funding	2
Juvenile Population	2
Juvenile Boards	3
Structure	3
Certification of Officers	3
Caseload	3
Salaries, Staffing, and Tenure	3
Juvenile Referral Activity	8
Offender Demographics	9
Offense Distribution	
Referral History	11
Juvenile Disposition and Supervision Activity	
Distribution of Dispositions by Offense Severity and Race	
Supervision Workload Activity	14
Juvenile Detention and Residential Placement Activity	
Registry of Secure Facilities	
Detention Activity	
Residential Placement Activity	
Appendices	
Appendix A: Glossary of Juvenile Probation Terminology	17
Appendix B: Referral Activity	21
Appendix C: Disposition Activity	29
Appendix D: Supervision Activity	37
Appendix E: Detention and Residential Placement Activity	45

Overview

The Texas Juvenile Justice Department's (TJJD) annual activity report provides information regarding the magnitude and nature of juvenile criminal activity and the juvenile probation system's response. This information is offered to assist the state's effort in improving the juvenile justice system and reducing juvenile crime in Texas.

During calendar year 2015, police agencies in Texas processed 52,744 arrests of juveniles between the ages of 10 and 16. Not all arrests resulted in referrals¹ to juvenile probation. In 2015, juvenile departments saw 61,273 referrals. Law enforcement accounted for 72.3% of all referrals (44,330). Schools, probation departments, municipal courts and TJJD referred another 16,943 cases for delinquent conduct or conduct in need of supervision (CINS), totaling 61,273 referrals for calendar year 2015. This is a decrease of 2,784 (4.3%) referrals from calendar year 2014, with specific delinquent offenses decreasing 4.0%, violation of probation decreasing 3.7%, and CINS offenses decreasing 7.7%.

Most juveniles referred were attending regular school (77.9%) at the time of their referral to a juvenile probation department. The remaining juveniles were in an alternative learning environment, had received their GED, had graduated, had dropped out of school, or had been suspended or expelled. Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Programs (JJAEP) provide education services to students who have been expelled from the public school system for committing specific offenses and are mandated in counties with a population of 125,000 or greater. During the 2014-2015 school year, there were 26 mandatory JJAEPs operating in Texas. Of the 2,977 student entries into JJAEPs, 42% of the students were expelled for a discretionary expulsion offense.

Disposition of a case may result from action taken by the juvenile department, the juvenile prosecutor, or the juvenile court. There were a total of 63,937 dispositions given in 2015. Juvenile probation departments disposed of 23,139 (36.2%) of these cases. Of cases disposed by departments, 15.0% were dismissed or withdrawn, 50.2% were given supervisory caution, and 34.8% were given deferred prosecution. Juvenile prosecutors resolved an additional 13,108 cases, with 63.7% of cases found to have no probable cause, or being dismissed, refused, or non-suited; 7.2% were given supervisory caution; and 29.1% were given deferred prosecution.

The juvenile court resolved the remaining 27,690 cases. Of these, the court ordered supervisory caution for less than 1.0% of cases (n = 168), deferred prosecution for 13.4% of cases, or ordered a new or modified probation in 56.9% of cases. The court certified and transferred to adult court 120 juveniles, and committed 882 juveniles to TJJD or a post-adjudication secure correctional facility². Of juveniles receiving a commitment disposition, 730 were given indeterminate sentences and 152 were given determinate sentences³. A disposition of not guilty was found in 134 cases. There were 5,008 cases the court transferred or adjudicated with no disposition, or consolidated and disposed with another case.

Overall, dispositions most often resulted in adjudicated probation supervision or deferred prosecution supervision (24.6% and 24.4%, respectively). Cases dismissed or disposed with a supervisory caution made up the next two largest categories (23.0% and 19.9%, respectively). Only 1.4% of dispositions resulted in a juvenile being committed, and only 0.2% resulted in adult certification.

In 2015, 166 juvenile probation departments served the 254 counties in Texas. The average length of adjudicated probation supervision was 368 days while the average length of deferred prosecution supervision and pre-disposition supervision was 155 days and 114 days, respectively. The majority (85%) of adjudicated probation, deferred prosecution, and pre-disposition supervision terminations during calendar year 2015 ended with successful completion.

Counties registered and operated 46 secure pre-adjudication facilities, 2 holdover facilities, 33 post-adjudication secure correctional facilities, and 13 non-secure correctional facilities in 2015. In addition to the county-operated facilities, private contractors provided services for juveniles in three pre-adjudication facilities and four post-adjudication secure facilities. The secure facilities provided a combined 3,155 pre-adjudication, 22 holdover, and 2,515 post-adjudication beds.

There were 35,136 detentions in calendar year 2015. Approximately 26.5% of detained juveniles were released within one day. There were 8,582 placements made to secure or non-secure residential facilities in 2015, generally following the juvenile's disposition. More juveniles were served in non-secure facilities (4,686) than secure facilities (3,896). The overall average length of stay for residential placements (secure and non-secure) was 125 days. The majority of residential placements that terminated during calendar year 2015 ended in successful completion.

¹ Includes Formal and Paper Formalized referrals only. Please see Glossary for definitions of referral types.

² Texas Senate Bill 511 of the 83rd Legislature gave authority to the juvenile courts to commit a juvenile to a post-adjudication secure correctional facility.

³ Total dispositions are counted for all categories except commitment and adult certification dispositions in which unique juveniles are counted.

The Texas Juvenile Probation System

Introduction

Since January 1999, juvenile probation departments have been required to submit individual case file data in an electronic format initially to the Texas Juvenile Probation Commission (TJPC), and currently the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD). In addition, annual reporting and periodic surveys from departments provide supplemental information to the agency.

Caution should be used in drawing general conclusions from the statistics. The data in this report portray neither everything juvenile probation departments or courts do, nor how much time they spend on activities not represented by the statistics. At least three factors are not represented in the juvenile probation activity statistics and should be considered when evaluating them:

- 1. The juvenile justice system operates under the rules and authority of Title 3 of the Texas Family Code⁴. Many of the cases statistically reported as being disposed as supervisory caution, dismissed, or otherwise disposed have received rehabilitative and other services from the juvenile probation system. These services are not captured in the activities presented in this report.
- 2. Only formal referrals are reported in referral, disposition, supervision, and detention statistics. Departments also handle a number of informal referrals such as crisis intervention and prevention/intervention which are not captured in this report.
- 3. A number of juvenile probation officers serve both adult and juvenile capacities and often operate under widely varying authorities and policies.

Funding. Juvenile boards work closely with the commissioner's court to set budgets for operation of local juvenile probation departments using both local and state funds. In fiscal year 2015, local commissioner's courts provided approximately 73.0% of the total funding to probation departments from county revenues. State funds, primarily channeled through TJJD, accounted for approximately 26.0% of total funding for juvenile probation services. The remaining 1.0% of funds was Title IV-E monies funded through the federal government.

Juvenile Population. The Texas juvenile justice system serves youth between the ages of 10 and 16. Youth ages 17 and older fall under the jurisdiction of the juvenile justice system only if their alleged offense was committed when the youth was 16 years old or younger or for a violation of a juvenile court order if the youth is still under supervision. Using the Texas State Data Center 0.5 migration scenario projections based on the United States Census 2010 population, there were 2,798,160 juveniles age 10 to 16 in Texas in calendar year 2015. Gender, race and age breakdowns of the projected 2015 populations are shown in Figure 1. Overall, 51.2% of the youth population was male, and 48.8% of the youth population was female. This was consistent across race categories.




Figure 1: Gender, Age, and Race Population Breakdown – Calendar Year 2015

⁴ Dawson, R.O. (2014). Texas Juvenile Law (8th ed.). Austin, TX: Texas Juvenile Justice Department.

Juvenile Probation Departments

Juvenile Boards. State law requires each department to have a juvenile board. Some of these boards govern multiple counties. Each board, consisting of district and county judges, is responsible for overseeing the operation of the juvenile probation system in that county. This includes designating juvenile judges, appointing the chief juvenile probation officer, and setting the policies and budget for the juvenile probation department. TJJD provides funding and technical assistance to juvenile boards.

Structure. Juvenile probation departments implement the policies of juvenile boards, deliver services to juveniles referred to juvenile courts, and provide services to at-risk youth in the community. In Texas in 2015, there were 122 single-county departments and 44 multi-county departments. Multi-county departments range in size from two to six counties. Seven departments served both adult and juvenile probationers. Four of these combined adult and juvenile departments had designated juvenile probation officers who served juveniles only.

Certification of Officers. Certification is required for all Texas juvenile probation and supervision officers. Certification requires that officers earn the necessary academic degree and complete 80 hours of approved continuing education. Probation officers must hold, at minimum, a bachelor's degree while supervision officers most hold, at minimum, a high school diploma or GED. All officers must also undergo a criminal background check at initial certification and certification renewal. In 2015, TJJD certified 216 new juvenile probation officers and 996 new supervision officers. An additional 2,613 existing probation and supervision officer applications were re-certified.

Caseload. Of the 166 local departments participating in the Resource Report⁵ for Calendar Years 2015 and 2016, 143 provided responses (response rate of 86%). Based on data received from local departments, juvenile probation departments across the state employed 6,199 salaried personnel at the end of 2015. Four departments had only one employee, a Chief Probation Officer. The average daily caseload per caseload-carrying juvenile probation officer in Texas ranged from one to 69 juveniles, with a statewide average of 16 in 2015.

Salaries, Staffing, and Tenure. In 2016, TJJD conducted a study of salary, tenure, and turnover among juvenile justice personnel in Texas with the aim of addressing the following questions:

- How much are county probation and supervision officers paid?
- To what extent do salaries and turnover vary by department size, geographic region, and officer tenure?
- What are turnover rates among probation department employees?
- Is low salary a driver of high turnover?
- How can employee recruitment and retention at county probation departments be improved?
- How do probation and supervision officer salaries compare to salaries for similar positions?

Salary and turnover data reflecting calendar year 2015 was collected from 117 of 166 county juvenile probation departments (70% response rate), along with qualitative information on recruiting and retention strategies and challenges. Analysts also compiled salary information from similar industries for comparison purposes. In addition, 143 departments (86% response rate) provided 2015 staffing data in response to the agency's annual resource survey. Findings from the salary study and 2015 resource survey are summarized below. Salary related findings represent department salaries as of October 1, 2015.

⁵ The Annual Resource Report is a survey that is disseminated by TJJD's Research and Planning Division to collect information on departments, staffing, salaries, and additional juvenile justice related issues.

⁶ For more detail, see the final study report, "A Study of Salaries and Turnover Rates Among Juvenile Justice Personnel in Texas," available here:

In 2015, the median salary for full-time juvenile justice staff in Texas was \$41,759 and the median tenure was 8.08 years, though salary and tenure varied widely by department and position. (See Table 1, below, for statewide summary statistics on key staff categories.) Counties generally reported higher salaries for juvenile probation officers (JPOs) than juvenile supervision officers (JSOs), likely due to the additional educational requirements for JPO positions. In 2015 the median salary for JPOs was \$46,394, compared to \$36,120 for JSOs. Overall, counties also reported salaries increasing as tenure increased. (See Figures 2 and 3, below, for median JPO/JSO salary by tenure category.) JPOs and JSOs with 10 – 12 years of experience have annual salaries that are 18% and 24% higher, respectively, than officers with 3 or fewer years of experience.

Table 1: Position by Salary and Tenure Descriptive statistics

Position	N	Minimum Salary	Median Salary	Maximum Salary	Median Tenure (years)
Chief Probation Officer	106	\$42,232	\$70 , 720	\$185,141	19.83
Probation Administrative	326	\$31,972	\$63,315	\$149,656	17.17
Probation Line Officer	1,278	\$27,567	\$46,394	\$85,301	8.42
Supervision Administrative	348	\$21,008	\$55,058	\$111,115	13.54
Supervision Line Officer	1,793	\$18,500	\$36,120	\$58,358	3.75

Figure 2: Full-Time Probation Line Officer Median Salary by Tenure Category




Figure 3: Full-time Supervision Line Officer Median Salary by Tenure Category



An examination of JPO and JSO salaries by department size showed minimum and median salaries for both JPOs and JSOs are highest among large departments and lowest among small departments. (See Table 2, below, for officer salary ranges by department size.) The median salary among JPOs in large departments was \$49,145, compared to \$42,306 in small departments. Likewise, JSOs in large departments earned a median salary of \$36,610, compared to \$25,563 in small departments.

Table 2: Full-Time Officer Salary Range

Department Size	N	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Probation Line Of	ficer Sal	ary Range		
Large	652	\$33,852	\$49,145	\$75,347
Medium	494	\$29,022	\$42,764	\$85,301
Small	132	\$27,567	\$42,306	\$72,390
Supervision Line (Officer S	alary Range		
Large	1,038	\$27,180	\$36,610	\$56,243
Medium	728	\$21,985	\$33,694	\$58,358
Small	27	\$18,500	\$25,563	\$49,929

In a regional analysis, higher overall salaries were generally associated with lower turnover rates. (See Tables 3 and 4, below, for median salary and turnover rates by region.) Among JPOs in the North region, the median salary was \$53,100 and turnover was 6.9%, whereas in the Central region the median salary was \$43,061 and turnover was 15.6%. Among JSOs, the highest median salary (\$42,094) and lowest turnover rate (14.9%) were also found in the North, and the lowest median salary (\$28,254) and highest turnover rate (36.7%) were found in the Northeast.

Table 3: Full-Time Probation Line Officer Turnover

Region	Median Salary	Median Tenure	Turnover
North	\$53,100	13.33	6.9%
West	\$47,671	9.92	11.7%
Southeast	\$47,408	8.08	14.4%
Panhandle	\$47,237	11.67	4.8%
Central	\$43,061	7.25	15.6%
South	\$42,145	7.50	13.6%
Northeast	\$40,202	7.50	8.8%
Total	\$46,394	8.50	12.4%

Table 4: Full-Time Supervision Line Officer Turnover

Table 4. Pull-Time Supervision Eme Officer Turnover											
Region	Median Salary	Median Tenure	Turnover								
North	\$42,094	7.33	14.9%								
Panhandle	\$38,382	3.25	29.7%								
Southeast	\$38,337	6.67	22.7%								
West	\$34,739	7.13	21.2%								
Central	\$33,516	3.25	29.2%								
South	\$32,716	2.67	29.5%								
Northeast	\$28,254	3.13	36.7%								
Total	\$36,120	4.42	25.5%								

A portion of the TJJD study focused specifically on officers with 3 or fewer years of experience. These officers are of special interest because 43% of JSOs and 21% of JPOs fall into the 0-3 years of tenure category. These newer officers also reflect recruiting and early retention. Turnover rates were particularly high among this group, with nearly 27% of JPOs and nearly 70% of JSOs leaving their jobs within the first three years of hire.


Results from the qualitative portion of the study offer some insight into the high turnover rates among new officers. Departments of all sizes and in every region of the state reported difficulty recruiting and retaining employees due to low officer pay relative to other similar industries. Over half of all respondents noted that low salaries make recruiting and hiring difficult; more than two thirds said good pay was crucial for retaining employees. Many respondents identified specific positions that offer higher salaries to similarly qualified candidates. Examples of comments from respondents include, "We find ourselves at a disadvantage when competing with adult probation for probation officers. Adult probation can afford to pay officers, both initially and subsequently, more than we can afford. We find ourselves in a position of offering less money for more work," and "It is difficult to hire someone with a college degree for a position that pays less than the starting salary of a teacher."

Data compiled for the comparative analysis shows many JPOs and JSOs do indeed earn less than those in comparable positions at similar agencies. (See Figures 4 and 5, below, for a comparison of salary range minimums.) State employees, federal probation officers, and teachers in Texas all have a salary range that establishes minimum and maximum salaries for their positions. Due to the absence of such a range for JPOs and JSOs, two measures were used in the comparative analysis: the lowest starting pay reported and the median of all starting salaries reported. The lowest starting pay for both JPOs (\$22,000) and JSOs (\$17,500) is notably lower than the starting pay for many similarly qualified individuals.



Figure 4: Salary Range Minimum - JPO Comparison






This page intentionally left blank.

Juvenile Referral Activity

A youth may be referred multiple times in a year. In calendar year 2015, 43,439 juveniles accounted for 61,273 formal referrals to juvenile probation departments. Importantly, despite an increase in the juvenile population of Texas (Figure 6), referrals to juvenile probation departments continue to decline as evidenced in Figure 7.

Figure 6: Juvenile Population – Calendar Years 2011-2015


Figure 7: Juvenile Referrals - Calendar Years 2011-2015



The state referral rate for calendar year 2015 was 22 youth per 1,000. As shown in Figures 8 and 9, most counties have a referral rate between 11 and 25 per 1,000 youth, and a felony referral rate of less than five per 1,000 youth.

Figure 8: Referral Rate per 1,000 - Calendar Year 2015

Figure 9: Felony Referral Rate per 1,000 – Calendar Year 2015



Offender Demographics

Male offenders accounted for 72.7% of all referrals to juvenile probation departments in calendar year 2015 and females contributed the remaining 27.3% (Figure 10). While Caucasian youth made up 32.6% of the state's juvenile population in 2015, they accounted for only 22.6% of referrals to juvenile probation departments (Figure 11). Hispanic youth were the most populous group in the state (49.2%) and accounted for 49.5% of referrals to probation in 2015. African American youth accounted for 11.6% of the population and 27.0% of referrals. Juveniles of "Other" race category, including Asian and Native American, accounted for 6.5% of the state population and only 1.0% of referrals.

Figure 10: Gender of Referrals - Calendar Year 2015





Figure 11: Race of Referrals – Calendar Year 2015



Of all juvenile referrals in 2015, the average age of juveniles was 15 years. Despite this average, 16-year-old youth were referred to juvenile probation more frequently than any other age group. Youth age 17 or older are included in Figure 12 as they may have been referred for an offense committed while 16 or younger, or for a violation of a juvenile court order if they were under supervision with a juvenile probation department.




Figure 12: Age at Referral – Calendar Year 2015

Offense Distribution

As illustrated in Figure 13, referrals to juvenile probation departments have steadily declined since 2010. Referrals for alleged delinquent offenses (including felony, misdemeanor A and B, and contempt of magistrate) make up the majority of all referrals in a given year. Less than a quarter (23.7%) of the referrals in calendar year 2015 was for alleged felony offenses. Only 9.5% of all alleged offenses qualified as violent felonies in 2015.




Figure 13: Referrals by Offense Type - Calendar Years 2010 - 2015

Since 2010, referrals for both alleged delinquent offenses and violation of probation offenses have increased as a percent of total referrals (Table 5). Conversely, referrals for alleged Conduct Indicating a Need for Supervision (CINS) offenses (including status and non-status) have decreased as a percent of total referrals since 2010.


	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Delinquent Offenses	72.7%	73.6%	73.2%	73.3%	74.0%	74.3%
Felony Offenses	20.4%	21.5%	21.0%	21.2%	22.2%	23.7%
Misdemeanor A&B Offenses	52.3%	52.1%	52.1%	52.2%	51.8%	50.5%
Violation of Probation	13.3%	14.5%	15.5%	15.6%	16.1%	16.3%
CINS & Status Offenses	14.1%	11.9%	11.4%	11.0%	9.8%	9.5%
Status Offenses	8.0%	7.1%	7.0%	6.7%	7.0%	7.0%
Non-Status CINS Offenses	6.1%	4.8%	4.3%	4.3%	2.8%	2.5%
Total Referrals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 5: Offense Percent Distribution – Calendar Years 2010 - 2015

Referral History

Less than half of the juveniles referred in calendar year 2015 (41.6%) had been previously referred to juvenile probation departments. As shown in Figure 14 below, 39.2% of juveniles with a referral history had only one prior referral since calendar year 2007. More than a quarter (28.4%) of those with a referral history, however, had four or more prior referrals. Among juveniles with a prior referral, 46.1% had at least one referral for a prior felony offense, 19.6% a prior violent felony offense, and 27.3% a prior violation of probation.

Figure 14: Juvenile Referral History - Calendar Year 2015



Juvenile Disposition and Supervision Activity

There were 63,937 juvenile cases disposed in calendar year 2015. The distribution of disposition by source indicates juvenile courts disposed the largest percentage of cases (43.3%), followed by probation departments (36.2%), and prosecutors (20.5%). Over half of the dispositional outcomes for these 63,937 cases were comprised of deferred prosecution or probation dispositions, (26.0% and 26.3%, respectively). Cases disposed as supervisory caution made up 21.3% of dispositions, and dismissed cases another 24.6%. There were 4,148 cases, (6.5%), that received an outcome of consolidated or transferred with no adjudication. Lastly, the disposition of commitment made up 1.5% of cases while adult certification dispositions totaled 0.2%.

The number of juveniles certified to stand trial as adults decreased 9.1% between 2014 and 2015. During the same period, TJJD commitment dispositions increased by 13.5%, from 777 to 882 youth (Figure 15). Since 2010, however, commitment and adult certification dispositions have decreased 20.8% and 44.4%, respectively.




Figure 15: Commitments and Adult Certifications - Calendar Years 2009-2015

While dispositions of commitment and adult certification, along with probation, must be disposed through the juvenile court; dismissed, supervisory caution, and deferred prosecution dispositions may be disposed of by way of the juvenile court, the prosecutor, or the probation department. Overall, the prosecutor and the probation department were responsible for the majority of the dismissed, supervisory caution, and deferred outcome activity (Figure 16).




Figure 16: Disposition Source Percent Distribution by Disposition Outcome – Calendar Year 2015

⁷ The probation disposition category includes adjudicated to probation or determinate sentence probation, adjudicated to probation with placement, determinate sentence probation with placement, modified and/or extended probation, as well as modified and/or extended probation with placement.

⁸ The dismissed category includes department, prosecutor, and court actions of dismissed, withdrawn, no probable cause, refused, not guilty, non-suited, and adjudicated with no disposition.

Distribution of Dispositions by Offense Severity and Race

Dispositions in 2015 varied by the severity of the offense, with more serious offenses being more likely to receive formal sanctions. As shown in Table 6, felony and violation of probation offenses had a much higher proportion of adjudications to probation than did misdemeanor offenses, and CINS offenses were most likely to receive a supervisory caution disposition.

Violent Other Misdemeanor Violation of Other Status Total **Probation Felony Felony** A & B CINS 1,441 1,810 7,101 2,705 1,078 601 14,736 Dismissed 28.1% 25.8% 24.6% 29.7% 23.2% 22.3% 39.5% 380 Supervisory 238 6,507 2,318 2,512 782 12,737 Caution 20.5% 24.1% 60.1% 21.3% 4.9% 4.9% 51.4% Deferred 828 2,245 11,941 0 449 108 15,571 28.8% 17.1% 37.6% 0.0% 10.7% 7.1% 26.0% 3,206 Probation 1,940 6,247 4,175 144 31 15,743 41.1% 19.6% 2.0% 26.3% 40.0% 43.4% 3.4% 882 Commitment 302 148 0 432 0 0 6.2% 1.9% 0.0% 4.5% 0.0% 0.0% 1.5% Adult 0 101 19 0 0 0 120 Certification 2.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.2% .2% 7,808 9,630 4,183 1,522 Total 4,850 31,796 59,789

100.0%

Table 6: Dispositions by Offense Severity – Calendar Year 2015

In calendar year 2015, African American youth and Hispanic youth were more likely to receive a disposition of probation than any other disposition. Caucasian youth and youth of Other races received more deferred prosecutions than any other disposition (Figure 17). African American youth were more likely than any other racial group to receive a disposition of commitment or adult certification. Figure 18 illustrates the proportion of disposition offense severity by race. Caucasian youth and African American youth had the highest proportion of dispositions for a felony offense. A higher proportion of Hispanic youth and African American youth received a disposition for a violation of probation offense.

100.0%

100.0%



100.0%

100.0%





Figure 18: Disposition Offense Severity by Race - Calendar Year 2015

100.0%

100.0%



Supervision Workload Activity

The average daily population (ADP) for adjudicated probation supervision in calendar year 2015 was 12,6309. Successful completions accounted for 77.5% of the 12,874 adjudicated probation supervisions terminated during the year, while 22.5% were unsuccessfully terminated prior to completing probation. Juveniles may have failed to complete probation for a number of reasons including commitment to TJJD, transfer to an adult court for trial, absconding, terminating early due to persistent non-compliance of supervision requirements or transferring out of the department's jurisdiction.


The ADP of juveniles on deferred prosecution during 2015 was 6,728. Successful completions of deferred prosecution comprised 81.0% of the 15,979 deferred prosecution supervisions terminated during the year, while 19.0% were unsuccessfully terminated prior to completion. Juveniles may have failed to complete their deferred supervision because of the reasons listed above or they may have been disposed to court-order probation because of a violation of the deferred supervision agreement.

The ADP of juveniles under pre-disposition supervision (including Conditional Pre-Disposition Supervision and Temporary Pre-Court Monitoring) during 2015 was 5,646. Of the 20,304 pre-disposition supervisions terminated during the year, 92.1% were terminated upon completion of supervision while 7.9% were terminated unsuccessfully.

There were 24,439 active supervisions on January 1, 2015. Of those under supervision on this date, 52.3% were on adjudicated probation supervision, 25.5% were on deferred prosecution supervision, and 22.2% were on pre-disposition supervision (Figure 19). Figure 20 displays the number of active supervisions on January 1, 2015 by county. Adjudicated probation, deferred prosecution, and pre-disposition supervision types are included in this map. The vast majority (75.6%) of counties had 50 or fewer active supervisions on this date.

Figure 19: Cases under Supervision on January 1, 2015





⁹ Due to reporting and calculation differences, this ADP may not be consistent with previously reported ADP. Current results supersede past results.

Juvenile Detention and Residential Placement Activity

Facility Registry

Per the authority and responsibility established in Title 3, Chapter 51, Texas Family Code, the Texas Juvenile Justice Department maintains a statewide registry for all local-level (i.e., non-state operated or contracted) public and private secure juvenile detention and juvenile post-adjudication secure correctional facilities located within the state. TJJD's facility registry not only provides an all-inclusive listing of any such facilities, it also serves as a general database of facility-reported information about each registered facility. As a relevant aside, per the Texas Family Code, no child may be placed into a secure detention or post-adjudication secure correctional facility that is not registered with TJJD.

Effective 2011, TJJD obtained the responsibility for registering non-secure correctional facilities operated by local juvenile probation departments. The primary difference between a non-secure and secure facility is the lack of construction fixtures designed to physically restrict a juvenile's movements or activities. While the vast majority of the state's non-secure residential facilities licensed through the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services serve all types of youth from varied referral sources, the non-secure facilities registered with TJJD prioritize services exclusively for juvenile justice referred juveniles. In 2015, 13 non-secure facilities were registered with TJJD. These facilities had a combined rated resident capacity of 554 beds. Table 7 provides the number and types of facilities registered with TJJD at any time during 2015. The rated capacity figures in the table reflect the self-reported resident capacity of those facilities operating at the end of the calendar year.

Pre-Post-**Hold Over** Adjudication **Adjudication Number Publicly Operated** 49 2 36 **Number Privately Operated** 3 0 4 **Total Number of Registered Facilities** 52 2 40 **Total Rated Capacity** 3,256 22 2,581

Table 7: Secure Facilities Registry – Calendar Year 2015

Detention Activity

There were 35,136 placements made in the 49 pre-adjudication secure and two short-term detention (i.e. holdover) facilities during 2015. The average daily population (ADP) for detentions in calendar year 2015 was 1,466. Youth were detained for an average of 17 days per detention. Of youth released from detention in 2015, 26.5% were detained for 24 hours or less, 28.1% for 2 to 10 days, and 45.4% for more than 10 days. Figure 21 provides the total number of detentions by county throughout the year. The majority of counties had fewer than 100 detentions during the year.




Figure 21: Detentions by County - Calendar Year 2015

Residential Placement Activity

There were 8,582 out-of-home residential placements made during calendar year 2015, comprised of 4,686 non-secure residential placements and 3,896 secure correctional placements¹⁰. The ADP of juveniles in non-secure residential placement or secure correctional placement was 852 and 1,119, respectively. Figure 22 highlights post-adjudication secure correctional facility ADP for the seven Texas regions. A star highlights counties with a post-adjudication secure correctional facility.¹¹

In calendar year 2015, the overall average length of stay in placement was 125 days. Secure correctional placements had a longer average length of stay than non-secure residential by just over 50 days (157 versus 99, respectively). Of the 3,966 non-secure placement terminations during the year, 82.7% ended upon successful completion, while 17.3% ended unsuccessfully. Successful completions accounted for 87.0% of the 2,844 secure placement terminations during the year, while 13.0% ended unsuccessfully.




Figure 22: Secure Residential ADP by Region - Calendar Year 2015

16

 $^{^{\}rm 10}$ These placements do not include secure pre-adjudication or holdover facility placements.

¹¹ Please note that some counties have more than one post-adjudication secure correctional facility.

Appendix A: Glossary of Juvenile Probation Terminology

General Terms

Active Cases: All cases detained, placed, or supervised during a specified period.

Average Daily Population: The average number of active cases detained, placed, or supervised per day during a specified period.

Average Length of Stay: The average number of days terminated cases spent in detention, in placement, or under supervision during a specified period.

Child (Juvenile): Section 51.02(2) of the Texas Family Code specifies a "child" is a person who is 10 years or older and under 17; or 17 years or older and under 18, who is alleged or found to have engaged in delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision as a result of acts committed before turning 17.

Conduct Indicating a Need for Supervision (CINS): Section 51.03(b) of the Texas Family Code specifies that conduct indicating a need for supervision is: conduct, other than a traffic offense, that is a fine-only misdemeanor under state law or violates a penal ordinance of any political subdivision of the state; running away from home; inhalant abuse; an act that results in expulsion from school under Section 37.007(c), Education Code; prostitution; and electronic transmission of certain visual material depicting minor (i.e. sexting).

Delinquent Conduct: Section 51.03(a) of the Texas Family Code specifies the delinquent conduct is: conduct, other than a traffic offense, that violates a criminal law of Texas or of the United States that is punishable by imprisonment or by confinement in jail; conduct that violates a lawful order of a court under circumstances that constitute contempt of court in justice or municipal court, county court for conduct punishable by a fine only; or truancy court; conduct that violations Section 49.04, 49.05, 49.06, 49.07, or 49.08, Penal Code; and the third or subsequent offense in violation of Section 106.041, Alcoholic Beverage Code, relating to driving under the influence of alcohol by a minor.

Department: An organized juvenile probation department delivering services in one or more counties and which a juvenile board supervises.

Detention: A secure facility administered by a governing board that includes construction and fixtures designed to physically restrict the movements and activities of juveniles or other individuals held in lawful custody in the facility and used for the temporary placement of any juvenile or other individual who is accused of having committed an offense and is awaiting court action, an administrative hearing, or other transfer action. A pre-adjudication secure detention facility does not include a short-term detention facility as defined by Section 51.12(j) of the Texas Family Code. For additional information, refer to subchapters A, B, C, and E of 37 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 343.

Formal Referral: An event that occurs only when all three of the following conditions exist: (1) a juvenile has allegedly committed delinquent conduct, conduct indicating a need for supervision, or a violation of probation; (2) the juvenile probation department has jurisdiction and venue; and (3) the office or official designated by the juvenile board has made face-to-face contact with the juvenile and the alleged offense has been presented as the reason for this contact or has given written or verbal authorization to detain the juvenile.

Juvenile Board: A governing board created under Chapter 152 of the Texas Human Resources Code to oversee the provision of juvenile probation services by a juvenile probation department.

Juvenile Court: A court designated under Section 51.04 of the Texas Family Code to exercise jurisdiction over proceedings under Title 3 of the Texas Family Code.

Non-Secure Correctional Facility: Any public or private residential facility operated by or under contract with a juvenile board in which the construction fixtures, hardware, staffing models, and procedures do not restrict the egress of residents from the facility. For additional information, refer to 37 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 355.

Paper-Formalized Referral: Occurs when a referral that began as a Paper Complaint (paperwork only) is later formalized with face-to-face contact.

Program: A program is a planned or coordinated activity or group of activities designed to address a specific purpose or goal including, but not limited to, altering a juvenile's behavior to reduce delinquency. To be considered a program, there must be a curriculum, strategy, or plan to achieve the designated goal(s). Participation in a program is either required or tied to the juvenile's supervision.

Post-Adjudication Secure Correctional Facility: Secure facility operated by or under contract with a juvenile board that includes construction and fixtures designed to restrict physically the movements and activities of the residents and is intended for the treatment and rehabilitation of youth who have been adjudicated. As defined in 37 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 343, a post-adjudication secure correctional facility does not include any non-secure residential program operating under the authority of a governing board.

Residential Placement: Supervision ordered by a juvenile court in accordance with Texas Family Code Section 54.04(d)(1)(B) in which the child is placed on probation outside the child's home in a foster home or a public or private institution or agency. For additional information refer to 37 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 341.

Status Offender: A child who is accused, adjudicated or convicted for conduct that would not, under state law, be a crime if committed by an adult. For specific status offense categories, see Texas Family Code Section 51.02(15).

Dispositions

Adjudicated Probation: A disposition option set forth in Texas Family Code Section 54.04(d)(1)(A) in which a juvenile who has been found to have engaged in delinquent conduct and/or conduct in need of supervision is formally placed on probation under the supervision of the juvenile court for a specified period of time. The juvenile has been adjudicated and placed on probation in the child's own home or in the custody of a relative or other fit person. The juvenile may be required to participate in a variety of appropriate programmatic services (e.g., counseling, community services, etc.) and may also be subject to other reasonable court-ordered restrictions or conditions.

Adjudicated to Probation with Placement: A disposition option set forth in Texas Family Code Section 54.04(d)(1)(B) in which a juvenile who has been found to have engaged in delinquent conduct and/or conduct in need of supervision is formally placed on probation under the supervision of the juvenile court for a specified period of time. The adjudicated juvenile is placed on probation and ordered to placement in a foster home, residential treatment facility, or post-adjudication secure correctional facility. The juvenile may be required to participate in a variety of appropriate programmatic services and may also be subject to other reasonable court-ordered restrictions or conditions.

Certification as Adult: A discretionary procedural action described in Texas Family Code Section 54.02 in which the juvenile court transfers its jurisdiction to the criminal court in order to allow a juvenile to be prosecuted as an adult. Depending on the type of felony conduct alleged, a juvenile as young as 14 years of age, and in some circumstances 10 years of age, may be certified to stand trial as an adult.

Commitment: A juvenile committed to the care, control, and custody of the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD). Beginning in 2007, all commitments to TJJD, except those under the Determinate Sentencing Act, were for an indeterminate term not to extend beyond the juvenile's 19th birthday. Beginning in 2013, juveniles in Travis County may be committed to the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department's post-adjudication secure correctional facility rather than to TJJD.

Consolidated Case and Disposed in Another Case: A situation wherein multiple offenses are alleged in one petition or in multiple petitions. The offenses are disposed of in one disposition event.

Deferred Prosecution: A voluntary dispositional alternative to adjudication in which the juvenile, parent/guardian(s), and court agree upon supervision conditions. The time period cannot exceed 6 months except that if a court places the child on deferred prosecution as provided in 53.03(i) and (j), the juvenile may be supervised for up to one year or a combined period not to exceed one year if the time is added to a previous order of deferred prosecution.

Determinate Commitment: A disposition in which a juvenile is adjudicated for delinquent conduct that violates a penal law listed in Texas Family Code Section 53.045(a) or engages in habitual felony conduct as defined in Texas Family Code Section 51.031. A determinate sentence petition must be approved by a grand jury. Under determinate sentencing provisions of the Texas Family Code Section 54.04(q), a juvenile may be committed to the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD) or to the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department's post-adjudication secure correctional facility for a specified term of up to 40 years with a possible transfer to the Correction Institution Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Section 245.051, Human Resources Code, establishes the minimum period of confinement for all determinate sentenced offenders.

Determinate Sentence Probation: A disposition authorized by the Texas Family Code Section 54.04(q) in which a child given a determinate sentence commitment of 10 years or less may instead be ordered to determinate sentence probation by a court or jury for a period of up to 10 years.

Determinate Sentence Probation with Placement: A disposition in which a child placed on determinate sentence probation is ordered to placement in a facility. The juvenile may be required to participate in a variety of appropriate programmatic services (e.g., counseling, treatments, programs, etc.), and may also be subject to other reasonable court-ordered restrictions or conditions.

Dismissed/Withdrawn/No Probable Cause: A disposition possibility from either the probation department, prosecutor, or court. At the department level, an alleged offense against the juvenile will not be pursued and no disposition will be imposed if it is determined that there is no probable cause. At the prosecutor level, the prosecuting attorney reviews the circumstances and allegations of a referral for legal sufficiency and the desirability of prosecution and determines that no probable cause exists or that the case will be dismissed or withdrawn. At the court level, a court has reviewed the circumstances and allegations of a referral for prosecution and determined there is lack of evidence, thereby terminating all proceedings.

Indeterminate Commitment: A disposition in which a juvenile who has been found to have engaged in delinquent conduct is eligible for commitment to the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD) or to the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department's post-adjudication secure correctional facility for an indeterminate or unspecified term not to exceed his or her 19th birthday. Only a felony adjudication or probation modification for an underlying felony adjudication may result in a commitment. TJJD has established minimum lengths of stay for all indeterminate sentenced offenders.

Modified and/or Extended Probation: An extension or revision of a prior court order in which the juvenile continues probation in the child's home or in the custody of a relative or other fit person. This extension may result in additional supervision time or other programmatic sanctions and/or services.

Modified/Extended Probation with Placement: An extension or revision of a prior court order in which the juvenile continues probation and is ordered to placement in a facility. This extension may result in additional supervision time or other programmatic sanctions and/or services (e.g., counseling, drug rehabilitation program, mental health treatment, etc.).

Non-Suited: The prosecuting attorney reviews the circumstances and allegations of a referral for legal sufficiency and the desirability of prosecution and files a petition with the juvenile court. The petition is subsequently dismissed with or without prejudice.

Refused: An instance in which the prosecuting attorney reviews the circumstances and allegations of a referral for legal sufficiency and the desirability of prosecution; and refuses to prosecute the case due to insufficient evidence, unavailable witnesses, etc. The case is closed without a petition being filed or sanctions imposed.

Supervision: A process that involves the case management of a juvenile by the assigned juvenile probation officer or designee through contacts (face-to-face, telephone, office, home, collateral) with the juvenile, the juvenile's family, and other case planning participants. For additional information refer to 37 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 341.

Supervisory Caution: A judicial summary disposition made by the court or other authorized person. This informal disposition option may include counseling the juvenile about the consequences of his or her conduct, contacting the juvenile's parents to inform them of the juvenile's behavior or referring the juvenile to a social service agency or a community-based first offender program run by law enforcement. See Texas Family Code Sections 52.03, 52.031, 52.032, 59.004, and 264.302.

Supervision Types and Outcomes

Absent without Permission: The juvenile fails to report or cannot be found. The timeframe for not reporting for supervision is no more than 45 days from the time the juvenile is considered absent.

Completed: The juvenile completed the supervision without incident.

Conditional Pre-Disposition Supervision: This supervision type is either ordered by the court or ordered by the probation department with authority from the judge. Most conditional pre-disposition supervisions are done at the time of the detention hearing, but juveniles can be placed under this supervision type without having been detained. The conditions should be limited to those that are reasonable and necessary to ensure the juvenile will return to court.

Court Ordered Probation: A dispositional option set forth in Texas Family Code Section 54.04(d)(1) in which a juvenile who has been found to have engaged in delinquent conduct or conduct indicating a need for supervision is formally placed on probation under the supervision of the juvenile court for a specified period of time. A juvenile who has been adjudicated and placed on probation may be required to participate in a variety of appropriate programmatic services (e.g., intensive supervision programs, residential placement, community supervision, etc.) and may also be subject to other reasonable court-ordered restrictions or conditions. This category also includes the disposition of determinate sentence probation authorized in Texas Family Code Section 54.04(q) that may be ordered by a court or jury for a period of up to ten (10) years for specific violent or habitual conduct.

Deferred Prosecution: A voluntary dispositional alternative to adjudication in which the juvenile, parent/guardian(s), and court agree upon supervision conditions. The time period cannot exceed 6 months except that if a court places the child on deferred prosecution as provided in 53.03(i) and (j), the juvenile may be supervised for up to one year or a combined period not to exceed one year if the time is added to a previous order of deferred prosecution.

Failure to Comply: Supervision terminated before the anticipated end date because of a new offense or because the juvenile refused to accept services and/or was persistently non-compliant with the terms of supervision.

Temporary Pre-Court Monitoring: This is an unofficial form of pre-dispositional supervision used only when a juvenile is pending a disposition decision or court action. Temporary supervision can be done on a voluntary basis if agreed to by the juvenile and the juvenile's parents. There is no Family Code basis for this supervision type; therefore, a juvenile cannot be sanctioned for not following the conditions set forth.

TJJD Commitment: The juvenile's supervision ended as a result of commitment to the Texas Juvenile Justice Department.

Transferred out of Jurisdiction: The juvenile's supervision ended because the juvenile moved from one jurisdiction to another and services were transferred to the new jurisdiction. This outcome is used when a juvenile leaves one jurisdiction on an Interstate Compact or Inter-County Transfer and for juveniles on determinate probation whose probation is transferred to the adult system.

Transferred to the Adult System: The juvenile's supervision ended because the juvenile was transferred to the adult system due to new/additional charges.

Appendix B: Referral Activity

							•	Juven	ile Pr	obatio	n Refe	rral Ac	etivity								
						State	wide Su	mmar	y of C	Calend	lar Yea	r 2015	Report	ted Ac	tivity						
										Alleged	Delinquen	t Behavio	or								
						Felony	Offenses							Clas	s A & B I	Misdemea	inors				Violation
	Hom	Att. Hom	Sex Aslt	Robbery	Assault	Other Violent	Burglary	Theft	Other Prop	Drug Offs	Weapon Offs	Other Felony	Weapon Offs	Asslt	Theft	Other Prop	Drug Offs	Other Misd.	Contempt of Magistrate	Total Delinquent	of Court Order
Referral Source																					
Law Enforcement	41	1	1,274	1,044	2,832	30	2,587	1,756	874	961	321	1,170	272	7,671	5,266	2,313	5,248	4,908	275	38,844	1,695
School	0	0	20	24	296	0	106	41	124	271	78	97	27	901	308	170	1,349	668	16	4,496	89
Municipal/JP Court	0	0	0	1	5	0	7	3	0	1	0	2	1	8	1	1	5	7	426	468	33
Probation Department	1	0	27	42	105	0	58	75	32	28	8	35	4	235	113	66	149	170	137	1,285	7,943
TJJD	0	0	1	0	27	0	4	1	0	3	2	3	0	18	5	9	10	9	1	93	44
Other	0	0	11	4	17	0	16	8	27	14	0	12	1	31	12	5	17	28	112	315	153
Age																					
10	0	0	27	1	87	0	20	3	17	2	8	7	2	136	33	31	20	15	0	409	1
11	0	0	82	4	152	2	70	10	37	4	16	22	0	343	110	76	60	79	8	1,075	32
12	1	0	140	13	278	2	159	43	84	25	33	76	4	792	274	182	289	228	28	2,651	165
13	0	0	196	75	473	2	324	146	150	76	58	138	18	1,325	616	344	718	600	68	5,327	528
14	3	0	267	164	655	1	571	360	207	180	77	236	45	1,826	1,057	517	1,241	1,173	134	8,714	1,465
15	11	0	260	347	798	9	721	585	256	357	97	331	78	2,095	1,547	646	1,828	1,636	287	11,889	2,589
16	20	1	216	471	795	11	826	698	276	593	113	472	153	2,236	1,907	724	2,500	1,993	408	14,413	3,367
17+	7	0	145	40	44	3	87	39	30	41	7	37	5	111	161	44	122	66	34	1,023	1,810
Sex																					
Male	39	1	1,258	1,031	2,429	25	2,525	1,535	862	964	365	1,087	280	5,465	3,088	2,220	5,300	4,398	603	33,475	7,932
Female	3	0	75	84	853	5	253	349	195	314	44	232	25	3,399	2,617	344	1,478	1,392	364	12,026	2,025
Race																					
African American	20	0	285	645	1,036	12	848	637	198	156	107	349	111	2,523	1,755	667	1,011	1,863	177	12,400	2,852
Caucasian	7	0	534	90	681	3	581	392	336	437	91	259	52	2,179	1,515	625	1,719	983	184	10,668	1,955
Hispanic	15	1	503	375	1,530	15	1,337	841	514	673	209	698	140	4,088	2,343	1,252	3,973	2,900	594	22,001	5,082
Other	0	0	11	5	35	0	12	14	9	12	2	13	2	74	92	20	75	44	12	432	68
Total Referrals	42	1	1,333	1,115	3,282	30	2,778	1,884	1,057	1,278	409	1,319	305	8,864	5,705	2,564	6,778	5,790	967	45,501	9,957

			Jı	uvenile P	robation I	Referral A	Activity					
		Statewide 8	Summary	of Calen	dar Year	2015 Rep	orted Act	ivity (conti	inued)			
					Allege	ed CINS Beha	vior					
		Status Only		Other than Status Only								Referrals
	Truancy	Runaway	Alt Ed Expuls	CINS Prop	CINS Disd Condct	CINS Drugs	CINS Liquor Laws	CINS Sex Offenses	Other CINS	Crisis/ Not Specd	Total CINS	Grand Total
Referral Source												
Law Enforcement Agency	73	3,235	4	39	24	10	16	13	309	68	3,791	44,330
School	169	17	227	1	3	1	13	0	279	0	710	5,295
Municipal/JP Court	345	4	0	42	24	22	15	8	388	4	852	1,353
Probation Department	13	58	6	2	1	0	0	0	26	175	281	9,509
TJJD	1	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	23	160
Other	77	29	5	3	3	4	1	0	15	21	158	626
Age												
10	9	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	26	436
11	6	49	7	0	0	0	0	1	16	0	79	1,186
12	13	141	21	6	1	1	1	2	42	5	233	3,049
13	45	426	46	4	6	3	2	1	138	15	686	6,541
14	117	765	50	21	10	7	12	2	219	28	1,231	11,410
15	175	923	61	26	18	9	14	9	290	53	1,578	16,056
16	260	961	50	24	17	14	12	4	258	105	1,705	19,485
17+	53	82	7	6	3	3	4	2	51	66	277	3,110
Sex												
Male	443	1,434	196	63	39	34	37	14	733	170	3,163	44,570
Female	235	1,926	47	24	16	3	8	7	284	102	2,652	16,703
Race												
African American	83	743	67	25	14	1	1	8	225	118	1,285	16,537
Caucasian	148	745	61	23	14	11	8	9	109	75	1,203	13,826
Hispanic	418	1,823	111	39	27	25	35	4	679	78	3,239	30,322
Other	29	49	4	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	88	588
Total Referrals	678	3,360	243	87	55	37	45	21	1,017	272	5,815	61,273

	Juven	ile Probat	ion Referr	al Activit	y by Cou	nty – Calo	endar Yea	ar 2015		
	2015 Juvenile Population	Violent Felony	Other Felony	Misd. A & B	VOP	Status	Other CINS	Total Referrals	Referral Rate/1,000	Youth Referred
ANDERSON	4,642	7	10	24	3	2	0	46	10	40
ANDREWS	1,706	3	16	40	17	0	0	76	45	60
ANGELINA	9,186	27	26	96	25	1	0	175	19	140
ARANSAS	1,670	9	2	13	7	1	4	36	22	26
ARCHER	915	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2
ARMSTRONG	152	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATASCOSA	5,355	5	10	76	13	15	1	120	22	91
AUSTIN	2,987	3	3	36	4	1	7	54	18	48
BAILEY	883	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	3
BANDERA	1,634	1	4	20	1	9	3	38	23	29
BASTROP	8,608	14	25	94	35	49	0	217	25	164
BAYLOR	303	0	0	3	0	0	1	4	13	4
BEE	2,770	7	4	38	10	3	0	62	22	55
BELL	34,496	57	106	490	44	49	9	755	22	558
BEXAR	188,454	345	493	2,671	800	112	244	4,665	25	3,345
BLANCO	933	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	4	4
BORDEN	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOSQUE	1,700	0	6	0	1	0	0	7	4	7
BOWIE	8,825	16	37	163	21	0	0	237	27	182
BRAZORIA	36,958	65	87	524	346	68	19	1,109	30	715
BRAZOS	15,734	89	82	423	179	207	2	982	62	584
BREWSTER	747	1	3	5	0	0	0	9	12	9
BRISCOE	143	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	1
BROOKS	730	4	6	21	0	0	0	31	42	28
BROWN	3,645	12	14	45	2	1	1	75	21	63
BURLESON	1,642	2	0	9	4	3	0	18	11	16
BURNET	4,205	10	8	58	7	24	8	115	27	95
CALDWELL	4,054	10	26	81	56	0	2	175	43	111
CALHOUN	2,256	9	24	71	19	1	0	124	55	93
CALLAHAN	1,368	1	4	6	2	0	0	13	10	12
CAMERON	55,664	174	259	898	277	329	6	1,943	35	1,296
CAMP	1,369	5	13	7	0	0	0	25	18	21
CARSON	642	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	2
CASS	2,799	4	5	18	0	0	0	27	10	25
CASTRO	983	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
CHAMBERS	4,432	2	5	12	0	0	0	19	4	19
CHEROKEE	5,393	10	11	62	7	0	1	91	17	75
CHILDRESS	578	2	6	4	2	2	0	16	28	15
CLAY	931	1	3	5	0	0	0	9	10	9
COCHRAN	347	1	1	4	1	0	0	7	20	7
COKE	277	0	3	4	1	0	0	8	29	8
COLEMAN	812	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	6	4
COLLIN	103,112	98	127	698	318	115	31	1,387	13	909
COLLINGSWORTH	302	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1
COLORADO	1,908	1	9	14	6	0	1	31	16	24
COMAL	11,363	15	23	90	31	30	0	189	17	149
COMANCHE	1,274	0	9	9	5	0	0	23	18	21
СОМСНО	241	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	8	1

	Juvenile Probation Referral Activity by County – Calendar Year 2015												
	2015 Juvenile Population	Violent Felony	Other Felony	Misd. A & B	VOP	Status	Other CINS	Total Referrals	Referral Rate/1,000	Youth Referred			
COOKE	3,722	13	17	41	4	0	0	75	20	64			
CORYELL	8,635	13	6	67	7	25	1	119	14	105			
COTTLE	163	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	1			
CRANE	514	0	1	10	8	1	0	20	39	14			
CROCKETT	365	0	7	3	0	0	0	10	27	8			
CROSBY	680	1	0	7	0	0	1	9	13	8			
CULBERSON	249	0	1	2	0	0	1	4	16	4			
DALLAM	796	1	4	1	1	0	1	8	10	8			
DALLAS	252,978	544	714	2,141	520	652	254	4,825	19	3,589			
DAWSON	1,288	4	11	18	3	2	1	39	30	30			
DEAF SMITH	2,490	9	7	26	13	3	3	61	24	55			
DELTA	437	1	4	4	0	0	0	9	21	6			
DENTON	81,980	85	137	484	200	89	83	1,078	13	776			
DE WITT	1,709	5	15	49	23	2	0	94	55	67			
DICKENS	197	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
DIMMIT	1,148	2	10	6	0	0	0	18	16	15			
DONLEY	267	2	7	5	0	0	0	14	52	9			
DUVAL	1,177	6	14	12	4	12	1	49	42	37			
EASTLAND	1,604	5	5	23	2	0	0	35	22	28			
ECTOR	15,743	33	112	297	75	2	0	519	33	414			
EDWARDS	164	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	1			
ELLIS	18,687	32	35	84	11	1	2	165	9	146			
EL PASO	95,518	171	251	1,103	517	37	1	2,080	22	1,445			
ERATH	3,303	6	12	16	0	3	3	40	12	40			
FALLS	1,487	2	16	24	27	1	3	73	49	32			
FANNIN	3,028	4	16	13	3	10	6	52	17	43			
FAYETTE	2,149	1	7	12	0	0	0	20	9	18			
FISHER	309	1	0	6	0	0	0	7	23	4			
FLOYD	717	2	1	10	1	1	0	15	21	13			
FOARD	102	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	1			
FORT BEND	80,416	88	156	657	235	71	10	1,217	15	939			
FRANKLIN	1,093	0	2	5	0	1	0	8	7	8			
FREESTONE	1,890	0	13	9	4	2	0	28	15	18			
FRIO	1,664	4	5	33	4	4	0	50	30	43			
GAINES	2,573	0	8	28	2	7	1	46	18	38			
GALVESTON	30,131	96	131	593	340	3	16	1,179	39	595			
GARZA	450	0	0	8	0	1	0	9	20	9			
GILLESPIE	2,077	4	7	9	2	0	4	26	13	24			
GLASSCOCK	126	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
GOLIAD	676	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	6	4			

	Ju	venile Pro	bation Ref	erral Acti	vity by Co	ounty – Ca	alendar Y	ear 2015		
	2015 Juvenile Population	Violent Felony	Other Felony	Misd. A & B	VOP	Status	Other CINS	Total Referrals	Referral Rate/1,000	Youth Referred
GONZALES	2,180	3	9	23	7	0	0	42	19	30
GRAY	2,246	3	6	42	5	19	2	77	34	65
GRAYSON	11,769	34	50	100	58	1	0	243	21	196
GREGG	12,254	23	40	153	26	22	0	264	22	201
GRIMES	2,428	2	6	23	3	0	1	35	14	31
GUADALUPE	16,116	20	30	136	79	45	5	315	20	222
HALE	4,084	9	21	45	18	2	1	96	24	83
HALL	337	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	2
HAMILTON	677	2	1	5	0	0	1	9	13	7
HANSFORD	697	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	3
HARDEMAN	405	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	5,888	4	13	46	26	5	0	94	16	63
HARRIS	451,296	831	1,362	4,754	1,193	244	160	8,544	19	6,032
HARRISON	6,859	13	44	91	25	21	0	194	28	138
HARTLEY	566	0	1	5	1	0	1	8	14	8
HASKELL	505	3	1	2	0	0	0	6	12	6
HAYS	17,722	39	67	262	116	62	22	568	32	365
HEMPHILL	474	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	2
HENDERSON	7,174	14	25	53	8	2	0	102	14	89
HIDALGO	112,017	258	324	614	427	237	14	1,874	17	1,319
HILL	3,411	2	12	13	35	7	2	71	21	44
HOCKLEY	2,472	11	3	40	14	24	0	92	37	83
HOOD	4,378	7	15	36	17	21	2	98	22	82
HOPKINS	3,583	11	8	30	17	46	1	113	32	85
HOUSTON	1,798	8	0	19	4	0	1	32	18	27
HOWARD	3,017	9	20	32	43	27	0	131	43	80
HUDSPETH	408	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNT	9,093	38	31	85	31	2	6	193	21	147
HUTCHINSON	2,268	4	11	21	8	11	1	56	25	44
IRION	135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JACK	790	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	2
JACKSON	1,340	3	10	18	0	1	1	33	25	26
JASPER	3,462	4	14	14	9	0	1	42	12	37
JEFF DAVIS	155	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	13	2
JEFFERSON	23,049	66	81	297	235	45	6	730	32	424
JIM HOGG	581	1	1	6	3	7	3	21	36	18
JIM WELLS	4,408	26	20	104	1	12	1	164	37	127
JOHNSON	17,365	27	43	119	30	10	4	233	13	201
JONES	1,533	4	4	6	4	0	0	18	12	14
KARNES	1,134	2	1	8	3	8	1	23	20	21
KAUFMAN	13,456	27	18	72	24	0	0	141	10	109
KENDALL	3,624	1	8	30	1	1	0	41	11	37
KENEDY	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENT	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KERR	4,027	10	18	63	56	28	2	177	44	106

	Juve	enile Proba	ation Refer	rral Activ	ity by Co	unty – Cal	lendar Ye	ar 2015		
	2015 Juvenile Population	Violent Felony	Other Felony	Misd. A & B	VOP	Status	Other CINS	Total Referrals	Referral Rate/1,000	Youth Referred
KIMBLE	353	0	7	8	1	0	0	16	45	15
KING	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KINNEY	230	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KLEBERG	3,100	14	11	66	2	17	0	110	35	88
KNOX	377	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	11	4
LAMAR	4,708	5	15	20	9	2	0	51	11	37
LAMB	1,569	4	22	21	7	0	0	54	34	38
LAMPASAS	1,888	7	10	18	5	5	0	45	24	42
LASALLE	567	0	2	11	0	2	0	15	26	13
LAVACA	1,705	0	11	7	7	0	1	26	15	17
LEE	1,634	2	7	9	0	2	1	21	13	20
LEON	1,486	1	3	8	2	0	0	14	9	11
LIBERTY	7,825	12	12	30	3	0	0	57	7	48
LIMESTONE	2,118	5	7	18	16	2	1	49	23	36
LIPSCOMB	333	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	9	2
LIVE OAK	899	4	1	6	0	0	0	11	12	11
LLANO	1,246	6	2	10	1	1	1	21	17	18
LOVING	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LUBBOCK	27,089	166	257	777	156	16	0	1,372	51	987
LYNN	647	2	7	10	0	0	0	19	29	16
MCCULLOCH	791	2	4	20	0	1	4	31	39	31
MCLENNAN	23,427	67	79	314	168	246	0	874	37	599
MCMULLEN	52	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	1
MADISON	1,172	3	3	6	0	0	0	12	10	12
MARION	719	0	7	3	3	0	0	13	18	11
MARTIN	551	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	7	3
MASON	359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MATAGORDA	3,703	9	12	55	8	20	6	110	30	85
MAVERICK	7,296	13	33	84	10	9	9	158	22	109
MEDINA	5,009	7	10	33	4	1	0	55	11	50
MENARD	159	0	1	3	0	1	0	5	31	4
MIDLAND	14,795	34	95	313	133	1	1	577	39	378
MILAM	2,516	7	11	30	7	5	0	60	24	47
MILLS	459	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	3
MITCHELL	708	4	5	12	0	0	0	21	30	21
MONTAGUE	1,792	3	11	7	1	0	0	22	12	22
MONTGOMERY	55,659	146	164	515	218	64	6	1,113	20	862
MOORE	2,783	4	28	32	15	7	0	86	31	63
MORRIS	1,093	0	4	7	0	0	0	11	10	10
MOTLEY	105	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	10	1
NACOGDOCHES	6,025	13	26	60	14	5	1	119	20	95
NAVARRO	5,223	12	16	48	2	9	0	87	17	81
NEWTON	1,241	1	3	7	1	0	0	12	10	10
NOLAN	1,550	12	9	36	7	5	2	71	46	49
NUECES	34,858	108	127	797	105	163	2	1,302	37	872

	Juve	nile Proba	tion Refer	ral Activi	ty by Cou	ınty – Cal	endar Ye	ar 2015		
	2015 Juvenile Population	Violent Felony	Other Felony	Misd. A & B	VOP	Status	Other CINS	Total Referrals	Referral Rate/1,000	Youth Referred
OCHILTREE	1,396	1	18	20	7	1	0	47	34	39
OLDHAM	241	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	8,149	16	22	32	28	17	1	116	14	75
PALO PINTO	2,801	4	8	21	3	4	3	43	15	32
PANOLA	2,453	1	4	8	0	0	1	14	6	9
PARKER	13,323	14	24	73	28	13	0	152	11	120
PARMER	1,261	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	3	4
PECOS	1,446	7	2	17	4	0	1	31	21	25
POLK	3,755	12	20	45	8	0	0	85	23	68
POTTER	13,196	61	65	235	98	31	6	496	38	359
PRESIDIO	858	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	3	3
RAINS	901	2	5	7	0	12	0	26	29	26
RANDALL	12,565	46	58	132	71	13	4	324	26	248
REAGAN	378	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REAL	204	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	49	10
RED RIVER	1,020	4	0	10	12	2	0	28	27	18
REEVES	1,169	0	8	21	10	6	0	45	38	33
REFUGIO	695	2	3	8	1	0	1	15	22	12
ROBERTS	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROBERTSON	1,628	3	10	8	7	0	0	28	17	23
ROCKWALL	11,109	10	7	60	7	3	4	91	8	83
RUNNELS	981	3	3	9	0	1	0	16	16	13
RUSK	5,054	6	9	43	4	3	0	65	13	56
SABINE	789	5	5	5	0	0	0	15	19	15
SAN AUGUSTINE	735	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAN JACINTO	2,624	3	9	17	4	0	0	33	13	29
SAN PATRICIO	7,151	17	28	134	36	23	8	246	34	175
SAN SABA	439	1	2	3	2	1	0	9	21	3
SCHLEICHER	446	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
SCURRY	1,596	2	14	14	0	11	1	42	26	39
SHACKELFORD	325	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SHELBY	2,694	5	13	16	0	0	0	34	13	31
SHERMAN	343	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	2
SMITH	21,682	35	83	215	94	34	2	463	21	357
SOMERVELL	899	0	1	4	2	0	0	7	8	6
STARR	7,966	16	33	133	32	21	0	235	30	184
STEPHENS	891	0	3	10	4	0	0	17	19	16
STERLING	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STONEWALL	143	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUTTON	452	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	2
SWISHER	806	1	5	11	8	0	0	25	31	19
TARRANT	208,232	390	476	1,798	495	89	3	3,251	16	2,425
TAYLOR	12,155	63	44	224	38	8	1	378	31	283
TERRELL	79	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	25	2
TERRY	1,266	2	10	33	7	0	1	53	42	37

	Juven	ile Probat	ion Referi	al Activit	y by Cou	nty – Cal	endar Ye	ar 2015		
	2015 Juvenile Population	Violent Felony	Other Felony	Misd. A & B	VOP	Status	Other CINS	Total Referrals	Referral Rate/1,000	Youth Referred
THROCKMORTON	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TITUS	3,782	9	14	41	3	3	0	70	19	58
TOM GREEN	10,175	30	49	233	43	54	8	417	41	276
TRAVIS	98,331	279	493	1,401	589	92	162	3,016	31	1,760
TRINITY	1,159	1	7	2	0	2	0	12	10	12
TYLER	1,684	4	9	16	0	1	6	36	21	32
UPSHUR	3,969	5	10	25	2	1	0	43	11	33
UPTON	368	0	5	2	0	0	0	7	19	6
UVALDE	3,064	4	14	51	4	12	2	87	28	78
VAL VERDE	5,712	15	28	89	14	1	1	148	26	104
VAN ZANDT	5,165	5	7	40	7	2	0	61	12	46
VICTORIA	9,025	28	38	175	187	48	0	476	53	254
WALKER	4,203	9	9	53	8	11	2	92	22	72
WALLER	4,455	3	6	11	0	0	1	21	5	19
WARD	1,113	3	9	15	2	18	8	55	49	43
WASHINGTON	2,962	12	12	38	5	7	1	75	25	57
WEBB	36,061	136	174	941	82	173	268	1,774	49	1,102
WHARTON	4,433	13	22	54	11	0	0	100	23	72
WHEELER	523	3	7	10	1	0	0	21	40	16
WICHITA	11,632	44	55	194	143	0	0	436	37	274
WILBARGER	1,312	0	2	18	5	0	0	25	19	21
WILLACY	2,310	8	11	57	18	2	0	96	42	69
WILLIAMSON	55,160	79	126	527	90	44	2	868	16	695
WILSON	5,076	5	6	35	10	58	2	116	23	101
WINKLER	754	1	6	8	5	0	0	20	27	18
WISE	6,487	10	8	35	11	0	0	64	10	50
WOOD	3,508	4	2	19	20	8	3	56	16	43
YOAKUM	1,050	0	1	5	0	1	0	7	7	7
YOUNG	1,815	3	19	15	5	2	0	44	24	38
ZAPATA	1,851	6	11	30	0	1	24	72	39	51
ZAVALA	1,386	2	11	15	0	1	0	29	21	19
TOTAL	2,798,160	5,803	8,725	30,973	9,957	4,281	1,534	61,273	22	43,439

Appendix C: Disposition Activity

							Juven	ile Pr	obatio	on Dis	position	ı Activ	ity								
					Sta	atewide	Summa	ary of	Cale	ndar Y	Year 20	15 Rep	orted A	ctivit	y						
	Alleged Delinquent Behavior																				
						Felony	Offenses							Class A	& B N	Iisdemea	anors				Violation
	Hom	Att. Hom	Sex Aslt	Robbery	Assault	Other Violent	Burglary	Theft	Other Prop	Drug Offs	Weapon Offs	Other Felony	Weapon Offs	Asslt	Theft	Other Prop	Drug Offs	Other Misd.	Contempt of Magistrate	Total Delinquent	of Court Order
Department Actions																					
Dismissed	0	0	15	3	40	1	31	33	11	24	5	13	5	260	256	88	204	194	108	1,291	956
Supervisory Caution	0	0	17	2	122	1	63	51	37	40	11	54	16	905	1,790	325	1,400	1,043	428	6,305	2,092
Deferred Prosecution	0	0	18	4	156	0	156	84	108	108	42	87	29	1,038	1,721	532	2,194	1,039	279	7,595	0
Prosecutor Actions																					
Dismissed	6	1	306	151	576	11	348	349	170	219	48	179	46	1,584	484	381	620	1,087	112	6,678	1,261
Supervisory Caution	1	0	31	10	47	2	29	16	20	18	11	26	3	265	102	37	72	87	26	803	75
Deferred	0	0	61	7	284	2	221	99	170	147	55	119	21	1,326	297	153	460	269	37	3,728	0
Court Actions													•								
Dismissed	1	0	92	36	200	2	102	104	48	62	15	49	18	545	211	164	381	332	21	2,383	488
Supervisory Caution	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	3	1	0	17	151
Deferred	0	0	57	22	215	2	222	127	145	207	52	96	32	952	363	202	534	447	16	3,691	0
Probation	6	0	477	422	1,026	9	1,190	799	312	391	128	386	120	2,108	795	593	1,291	1,255	85	11,393	4,175
Indeterminate Commitment	3	0	25	49	92	1	43	35	14	17	4	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	316	414
Determinate Commitment	7	0	12	97	16	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	134	18
Adult Certification	15	0	37	38	9	2	6	4	5	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	0
Consolidated	1	0	16	73	113	1	157	166	38	34	11	60	25	496	347	296	432	606	48	2,920	840
Other	0	0	7	2	8	0	6	18	3	7	0	10	4	22	17	10	15	19	0	148	2
Total Dispositions	40	1	1,172	916	2,908	34	2,575	1,885	1,082	1,279	383	1,114	319	9,503	6,385	2,781	7,606	6,379	1,160	47,522	10,472

			J	Tuvenile P	robation l	Dispositio	n Activity							
		Statewid	le Summa	ry of Cale	endar Yea	r 2015 Re	ported Ac	tivity (cont	inued)					
	Alleged CINS Behavior													
		Status Only		Other than Status Only										
	Truancy	Runaway	Alt Ed Expuls	CINS Prop	CINS Disd Condct	CINS Drugs	CINS Liquor Laws	CINS Sex Offenses	Other CINS	Crisis/ Not Specd	Total CINS	Grand Total		
Department Actions														
Dismissed	117	555	18	8	9	4	11	3	318	184	1,227	3,474		
Supervisory Caution	377	1,964	109	52	29	25	16	4	640	9	3,225	11,622		
Deferred	108	212	48	11	13	3	14	1	38	0	448	8,043		
Prosecutor Actions														
Dismissed	56	284	17	6	4	3	3	2	36	2	413	8,352		
Supervisory Caution	5	56	1	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	69	947		
Deferred	23	36	0	1	3	0	1	1	16	0	81	3,809		
Court Actions														
Dismissed	1	29	1	1	0	0	0	1	5	1	39	2,910		
Supervisory Caution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	168		
Deferred	14	7	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	28	3,719		
Probation	32	99	13	2	0	1	1	0	15	12	175	15,743		
Indeterminate Commitment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	730		
Determinate Commitment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	152		
Adult Certification	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120		
Consolidated	26	142	30	7	0	0	1	0	8	1	215	3,975		
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	23	173		
Total Dispositions	759	3,384	238	89	58	37	49	16	1,081	232	5,943	63,937		

	Juvenile	Probation D	ispositi	ion Activity	by Count	ty – Calend	dar Year 20	15	
	Dismissed	Consolidated	Other	Supervisory Caution	Deferred	Probation	Commitment	Adult Certification	Total Dispositions
ANDERSON	18	0	0	5	17	16	1	1	58
ANDREWS	8	5	0	22	13	17	0	1	66
ANGELINA	36	6	0	54	44	37	6	1	184
ARANSAS	25	3	1	0	14	3	0	0	46
ARCHER	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATASCOSA	34	1	0	23	50	24	0	0	132
AUSTIN	21	0	0	9	34	5	0	0	69
BAILEY	5	0	0	1	1	6	0	0	13
BANDERA	5	3	0	7	20	6	1	0	42
BASTROP	84	6	0	34	70	38	4	0	236
BAYLOR	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	4
BEE	16	2	0	0	26	24	1	0	69
BELL	207	81	0	145	184	192	9	0	818
BEXAR	995	106	5	1,465	1,544	1,154	37	5	5,311
BLANCO	0	2	0	0	2	4	0	0	8
BORDEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOSQUE	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7
BOWIE	48	42	0	69	25	22	7	0	213
BRAZORIA	177	42	0	573	142	229	7	4	1,174
BRAZOS	275	20	2	236	190	218	7	0	948
BREWSTER	4	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	10
BRISCOE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
BROOKS	9	1	0	1	9	17	0	0	37
BROWN	19	0	0	9	30	11	2	0	71
BURLESON	2	5	0	4	12	4	3	1	31
BURNET	29	6	0	7	67	21	0	0	130
CALDWELL	42	20	0	19	21	93	2	0	197
CALHOUN	17	12	6	4	29	49	2	0	119
CALLAHAN	1	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	17
CAMERON	506	118	2	595	180	639	35	7	2,082
CAMP	4	4	0	2	10	2	0	0	22
CARSON	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
CASS	2	1	0	2	13	11	1	0	30
CASTRO	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
CHAMBERS	7	0	0	0	5	12	0	0	24
CHEROKEE	5	10	0	16	42	25	1	0	99
CHILDRESS	0	0	0	1	3	8	1	0	13
CLAY	0	0	0	1	5	3	1	0	10
COCHRAN	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	7
COKE	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	6
COLEMAN	1	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	7
COLLIN	272	75	0	82	528	505	13	1	1,476
COLLINGSWORTH	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
COLORADO	4	1	0	1	13	6	3	0	28
COMAL	55	13	5	32	52	48	0	0	205
COMANCHE	6	1	0	1	14	8	0	0	30
CONCHO	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

	Juv	venile Probat	ion Dispo	sition Activi	ity by Cou	nty – Calen	dar Year 20	15	
	Dismissed	Consolidated	Other	Supervisory Caution	Deferred	Probation	Commitment	Adult Certification	Total Dispositions
COOKE	12	8	0	2	19	34	4	0	79
CORYELL	7	1	0	31	60	18	4	0	121
COTTLE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
CRANE	3	0	0	2	3	9	0	0	17
CROCKETT	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	7
CROSBY	0	1	0	0	8	0	1	0	10
CULBERSON	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
DALLAM	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	0	8
DALLAS	865	306	10	911	1,279	1,600	47	14	5,032
DAWSON	0	4	0	11	13	16	0	0	44
DEAF SMITH	5	0	1	2	19	32	6	0	65
DELTA	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	5
DENTON	206	87	8	8	173	541	12	5	1,040
DE WITT	28	8	1	0	22	33	1	0	93
DICKENS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIMMIT	6	0	1	3	11	2	0	0	23
DONLEY	1	0	0	0	6	4	0	0	11
DUVAL	9	0	0	0	23	10	0	0	42
EASTLAND	0	0	0	2	25	8	0	0	35
ECTOR	92	57	0	136	126	102	20	0	533
EDWARDS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
ELLIS	20	5	0	2	67	47	4	1	146
EL PASO	848	36	0	8	543	702	26	2	2,165
ERATH	5	0	0	0	22	9	1	0	37
FALLS	19	17	0	10	11	27	4	0	88
FANNIN	7	5	0	20	18	8	1	0	59
FAYETTE	4	0	0	11	7	3	0	0	25
FISHER	2	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	8
FLOYD	1	1	0	0	8	3	0	0	13
FOARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FORT BEND	341	55	35	160	431	304	21	1	1,348
FRANKLIN	0	0	0	2	6	1	0	0	9
FREESTONE	3	0	0	0	4	5	1	0	13
FRIO	8	3	0	0	27	1	0	0	39
GAINES	31	1	0	1	0	11	0	0	44
GALVESTON	364	10	0	388	244	232	11	0	1,249
GARZA	0	0	0	5	2	2	0	0	9
GILLESPIE	0	0	0	1	17	3	1	0	22
GLASSCOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOLIAD	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3

	Juv	enile Probati	on Dispos	sition Activit	ty by Cour	nty – Calen	dar Year 20	15	
	Dismissed	Consolidated	Other	Supervisory Caution	Deferred	Probation	Commitment	Adult Certification	Total Dispositions
GONZALES	13	4	0	0	21	9	1	0	48
GRAY	6	2	0	33	20	16	2	0	79
GRAYSON	50	44	0	20	57	82	6	0	259
GREGG	43	21	0	41	93	46	9	0	253
GRIMES	2	0	0	0	19	9	0	0	30
GUADALUPE	102	17	2	88	79	50	0	1	339
HALE	17	2	0	7	46	34	3	2	111
HALL	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
HAMILTON	1	0	0	1	7	1	0	0	10
HANSFORD	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4
HARDEMAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	6	5	0	37	30	18	2	0	98
HARRIS	2,490	1,140	40	0	2,720	2,316	180	27	8,913
HARRISON	100	0	0	2	53	29	1	0	185
HARTLEY	2	0	0	2	3	4	0	0	11
HASKELL	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	6
HAYS	55	37	6	200	165	76	0	3	542
HEMPHILL	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
HENDERSON	35	14	0	19	41	16	3	0	128
HIDALGO	505	140	1	37	483	658	61	0	1,885
HILL	10	7	0	14	3	28	8	0	70
HOCKLEY	21	1	0	34	18	32	4	0	110
HOOD	10	0	3	17	45	35	0	0	110
HOPKINS	19	1	0	50	15	18	0	0	103
HOUSTON	5	1	0	6	11	10	0	0	33
HOWARD	15	8	0	48	11	40	3	0	125
HUDSPETH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNT	23	18	1	106	8	54	1	1	212
HUTCHINSON	17	1	0	3	30	9	0	0	60
IRION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JACK	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
JACKSON	2	0	0	0	7	23	0	0	32
JASPER	14	1	0	2	5	13	3	0	38
JEFF DAVIS	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
JEFFERSON	71	62	0	290	102	192	15	8	740
JIM HOGG	0	0	0	0	13	6	0	0	19
JIM WELLS	38	23	0	11	78	8	0	1	159
JOHNSON	39	7	0	41	73	63	0	0	223
JONES	8	0	0	1	2	8	0	0	19
KARNES	4	6	0	5	11	5	0	0	31
KAUFMAN	8	10	0	39	50	36	3	0	146
KENDALL	17	0	0	4	16	10	1	0	48
KENEDY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KERR	26	31	0	30	22	68	6	0	183

Juvenile Probation Disposition Activity by County - Calendar Year 2015											
	Dismissed	Consolidated	Other	Supervisory Caution	Deferred	Probation	Commitment	Adult Certification	Total Dispositions		
KIMBLE	3	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	10		
KING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
KINNEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
KLEBERG	21	4	0	16	45	24	0	0	110		
KNOX	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4		
LAMAR	7	4	0	0	22	19	4	1	57		
LAMB	5	2	0	8	24	7	1	0	47		
LAMPASAS	6	2	0	3	22	10	1	1	45		
LASALLE	8	0	0	0	10	3	0	0	21		
LAVACA	3	4	0	9	1	9	0	0	26		
LEE	4	1	0	2	12	6	0	0	25		
LEON	4	0	0	1	8	4	0	0	17		
LIBERTY	10	2	0	1	34	12	1	0	60		
LIMESTONE	11	4	0	1	12	11	1	0	40		
LIPSCOMB	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4		
LIVE OAK	2	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	11		
LLANO	1	1	0	0	11	3	0	0	16		
LOVING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
LUBBOCK	286	48	0	497	147	308	13	0	1,299		
LYNN	1	0	0	0	13	5	0	0	19		
MCCULLOCH	2	0	0	7	17	5	0	0	31		
MCLENNAN	91	140	4	291	194	195	17	2	934		
MCMULLEN	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2		
MADISON	4	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	10		
MARION	2	0	0	2	4	9	0	0	17		
MARTIN	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	5		
MASON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
MATAGORDA	14	12	0	24	44	26	0	0	120		
MAVERICK	23	12	1	25	54	28	3	0	146		
MEDINA	3	2	0	10	35	8	2	0	60		
MENARD	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	5		
MIDLAND	272	61	0	42	116	91	9	2	593		
MILAM	13	5	0	6	19	19	3	0	65		
MILLS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
MITCHELL	5	1	0	1	8	2	0	0	17		
MONTAGUE	5	0	0	2	9	5	0	0	21		
MONTGOMERY	325	94	7	490	24	161	33	0	1,134		
MOORE	16	0	0	19	14	40	5	0	94		
MORRIS	0	0	0	3	2	2	0	0	7		
MOTLEY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
NACOGDOCHES	11	5	0	25	20	43	3	0	107		
NAVARRO	17	6	0	12	52	21	2	0	110		
NEWTON	0	0	0	1	8	2	0	0	11		
NOLAN	18	4	0	14	19	14	1	0	70		
NUECES	78	82	0	961	203	121	7	0	1,452		

	Juven	ile Probation	Disposit	tion Activity	by Count	ty – Calend	dar Year 201	15	
	Dismissed	Consolidated	Other	Supervisory Caution	Deferred	Probation	Commitment	Adult Certification	Total Dispositions
OCHILTREE	11	0	0	0	29	13	0	0	53
OLDHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	20	10	0	20	8	48	6	0	112
PALO PINTO	3	1	0	12	13	9	1	2	41
PANOLA	6	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	14
PARKER	9	1	0	29	71	40	2	1	153
PARMER	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
PECOS	14	1	0	1	4	23	0	0	43
POLK	37	1	0	0	43	32	1	0	114
POTTER	34	14	0	336	40	65	10	1	500
PRESIDIO	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
RAINS	7	0	0	10	2	5	0	0	24
RANDALL	71	10	0	104	63	88	3	1	340
REAGAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REAL	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
RED RIVER	0	4	0	9	4	8	1	0	26
REEVES	31	0	0	0	12	12	1	0	56
REFUGIO	5	1	0	1	2	4	1	0	14
ROBERTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROBERTSON	2	0	0	6	8	11	1	0	28
ROCKWALL	16	1	4	44	10	24	0	0	99
RUNNELS	5	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	9
RUSK	11	0	0	10	35	8	0	0	64
SABINE	2	0	0	0	9	2	0	0	13
SAN AUGUSTINE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SAN JACINTO	10	0	0	0	15	12	2	0	39
SAN PATRICIO	103	7	0	3	125	45	1	0	284
SAN SABA	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	8
SCHLEICHER	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
SCURRY	2	2	0	8	23	7	0	0	42
SHACKELFORD	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SHELBY	2	0	0	0	26	2	0	0	30
SHERMAN	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
SMITH	49	15	1	48	96	223	14	5	451
SOMERVELL	4	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	9
STARR	54	1	1	32	78	65	7	0	238
STEPHENS	3	0	0	0	3	13	0	0	19
STERLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STONEWALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUTTON	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
SWISHER	5	0	0	2	7	8	1	0	23
TARRANT	486	100	17	1,362	500	737	44	0	3,246
TAYLOR	36	61	0	18	172	85	9	3	384
TERRELL	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
TERRY	10	4	0	2	25	11	0	0	52

	Juvenil	e Probation 1	Dispositi	on Activity	by Count	y – Calend	dar Year 201	15	
	Dismissed	Consolidated	Other	Supervisory Caution	Deferred	Probation	Commitment	Adult Certification	Total Dispositions
THROCKMORTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
TITUS	8	7	0	14	25	14	0	0	68
TOM GREEN	126	50	0	59	135	61	0	4	435
TRAVIS	1,253	158	0	755	614	621	9	0	3,410
TRINITY	3	0	0	2	8	3	0	0	16
TYLER	16	0	0	2	19	3	0	0	40
UPSHUR	9	0	0	5	19	8	3	0	44
UPTON	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	4
UVALDE	16	5	0	18	42	16	0	0	97
VAL VERDE	12	0	0	14	62	120	4	0	212
VAN ZANDT	18	1	0	6	39	4	0	1	69
VICTORIA	15	0	0	337	22	85	6	1	466
WALKER	22	0	0	2	33	35	3	0	95
WALLER	4	0	0	2	5	2	0	0	13
WARD	13	2	0	4	22	17	1	0	59
WASHINGTON	13	4	0	7	34	12	3	0	73
WEBB	622	122	0	419	130	186	2	7	1,488
WHARTON	45	8	3	0	14	39	1	0	110
WHEELER	3	2	0	4	7	3	3	0	22
WICHITA	219	41	0	54	97	66	3	1	481
WILBARGER	2	0	0	1	7	6	1	0	17
WILLACY	15	0	0	4	39	44	1	0	103
WILLIAMSON	300	33	5	71	356	171	6	0	942
WILSON	7	7	0	67	25	21	2	0	129
WINKLER	3	0	0	0	5	13	0	0	21
WISE	6	0	0	10	21	28	0	0	65
WOOD	7	0	0	14	9	25	0	0	55
YOAKUM	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	5
YOUNG	10	2	0	1	18	16	0	0	47
ZAPATA	8	0	0	0	63	2	0	0	73
ZAVALA	4	1	0	2	14	1	0	0	22
TOTAL	14,736	3,975	173	12,737	15,571	15,743	882	120	63,937

Appendix D: Supervision Activity

	Adjudicated Probation Supervision – Calendar Year 2015											
Beginning	g of Period	Added dur	ing Period	Exited dur	ring Period	End of	Period	Unsuccessful Terminations				
Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth			
13,779	13,779 12,696 13,854 10,775 14,812 13,109 12,841 10,378 3,790 3,114											

	Deferred Prosecution Supervision – Calendar Year 2015										
Beginning	eginning of Period Added during Period				ring Period	End of	Period	Unsuccessful Terminations			
Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth		
6,363	6,220	15,951	15,135	16,336	15,718	6,009	5,666	3,229	2,983		

		Pre-Disposit	ion Supervisi	ion – Calenda	ar Year 2015		
Beginning	of Period	Added dur	ing Period	Exited dur	ing Period	End of	Period
Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth	Cases	Youth
5,493	5,276	22,268	17,275	22,046	18,166	5,730	4,395

	Juveni	ile Probatio	on Supervis	sion Workl	oad Activit	y by Count	y – Calend	ar Year	2015	
	D	Ac	ded during Peri	od	Ex	ited During Per	iod	F 1 6	Unsuccessful	Terminations
	Beginning of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	End of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution
ANDERSON	44	12	17	32	20	12	32	41	2	1
ANDREWS	27	12	13	17	16	16	9	28	0	0
ANGELINA	67	36	44	58	39	44	62	60	16	14
ARANSAS	28	3	15	9	6	19	9	21	3	4
ARCHER	2	0	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	0
ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATASCOSA	53	19	57	21	23	60	27	41	5	10
AUSTIN	30	3	35	13	3	33	16	29	0	4
BAILEY	16	5	1	0	9	12	0	1	1	0
BANDERA	16	6	20	0	5	19	0	18	2	3
BASTROP	107	24	69	101	46	89	107	60	10	12
BAYLOR	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
BEE	30	16	26	8	20	15	9	36	1	4
BELL	294	171	188	276	191	166	312	260	30	42
BEXAR	1,297	864	1,620	312	898	1,569	301	1,327	367	528
BLANCO	5	5	2	4	3	7	3	3	0	2
BORDEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOSQUE	5	0	1	4	4	0	0	6	1	0
BOWIE	173	21	26	295	27	33	269	186	4	6
BRAZORIA	520	200	147	530	266	184	556	392	50	11
BRAZOS	243	177	194	734	154	199	714	283	43	26
BREWSTER	8	4	3	7	5	3	9	5	2	0
BRISCOE	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
BROOKS	21	15	9	0	13	6	0	26	7	1
BROWN	19	15	30	12	11	32	7	26	2	2
BURLESON	14	2	12	6	5	11	10	8	3	3
BURNET	71	22	68	61	21	67	57	77	4	22
CALDWELL	50	73	21	5	55	21	6	67	2	6
CALHOUN	42	35	29	85	30	21	79	61	7	6
CALLAHAN	11	6	9	2	3	12	4	9	0	2
CAMERON	550	329	184	738	296	155	758	592	88	56
CAMP	4	2	10	5	1	7	4	9	0	0
CARSON	3	1	1	0	1	3	0	1	0	0
CASS	10	13	13	13	4	12	13	20	1	2
CASTRO	3	1	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	0
CHAMBERS	13	12	6	0	14	4	0	13	0	0
CHEROKEE	35	24	42	47	27	42	49	30	3	3
CHILDRESS	16	8	3	3	7	2	7	14	2	0
CLAY	3	3	5	3	3	1	3	7	1	0
COCHRAN	7	5	0	0	2	0	0	10	0	0

	Juvenile I	Probation S	Supervision	Workload	Activity b	y County -	- Calendar	Year 2	2015	
	Beginning	A	dded during Perio	od	Ex	ited During Per	iod	End of		cessful nations
	of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution
COKE	2	2	3	5	2	0	3	7	0	0
COLEMAN	5	0	4	0	1	6	0	2	0	0
COLLIN	772	314	539	440	330	593	435	709	45	142
COLLINGSWORTH	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
COLORADO	19	2	14	0	10	18	0	7	8	1
COMAL	87	40	63	48	48	61	57	72	7	14
COMANCHE	16	6	15	7	4	19	7	14	0	3
CONCHO	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0
COOKE	30	33	20	21	23	11	17	53	4	0
CORYELL	61	14	66	55	24	78	54	42	8	7
COTTLE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
CRANE	8	11	3	2	3	6	4	11	0	2
CROCKETT	1	0	5	2	0	1	0	7	0	0
CROSBY	3	0	8	0	3	6	0	2	0	1
CULBERSON	2	3	1	2	2	1	2	3	0	0
DALLAM	4	5	0	2	5	1	1	4	0	0
DALLAS	2,171	1,204	1,283	755	1,406	1,313	761	1,936	182	283
DAWSON	7	16	13	0	6	9	0	21	5	6
DEAF SMITH	51	23	19	34	30	17	32	48	5	3
DELTA	3	4	1	3	4	0	0	7	1	0
DENTON	727	483	187	600	487	183	588	739	58	18
DE WITT	17	27	22	50	9	24	47	36	0	11
DICKENS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIMMIT	8	2	12	16	2	5	17	14	0	1
DONLEY	2	4	6	3	1	6	3	5	0	1
DUVAL	35	9	22	2	11	39	2	17	2	4
EASTLAND	7	7	25	0	5	18	0	16	1	2
ECTOR	164	92	133	117	93	149	110	154	27	29
EDWARDS	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
ELLIS	100	50	68	80	43	71	76	109	5	6
EL PASO	595	505	544	111	500	548	117	590	18	29
ERATH	23	10	23	4	6	20	6	28	0	1
FALLS	17	18	11	37	9	9	39	26	2	7
FANNIN	27	10	19	22	7	23	26	22	0	2
FAYETTE	14	5	8	13	4	11	17	8	0	1
FISHER	1	0	6	0	0	5	0	2	0	0
FLOYD	16	2	8	0	6	11	0	9	0	0
FOARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FORT BEND	623	233	439	478	278	493	512	492	52	67
FRANKLIN	5	2	6	0	3	3	0	7	1	0

	Juveni	le Probatio	n Supervis	ion Worklo	oad Activity	y by Count	y – Calend	ar Year	2015	
	ъ	Ac	lded during Peri	iod	Ex	ited During Per	iod	F 1 6	Unsuccessful	Terminations
	Beginning of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	End of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution
FREESTONE	3	5	4	10	2	2	6	12	1	1
FRIO	14	1	27	1	1	31	0	11	0	1
GAINES	21	11	1	30	7	2	28	26	0	0
GALVESTON	275	224	250	52	230	249	50	272	88	44
GARZA	4	2	2	2	4	2	1	3	0	1
GILLESPIE	18	3	18	11	5	24	6	15	0	3
GLASSCOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOLIAD	5	0	3	2	3	3	1	3	0	0
GONZALES	22	12	22	9	12	19	15	19	5	2
GRAY	16	16	20	0	11	22	0	19	3	2
GRAYSON	119	64	59	24	68	67	15	117	13	10
GREGG	100	44	100	1	60	99	1	85	22	11
GRIMES	18	7	22	15	16	15	8	25	1	1
GUADALUPE	128	52	87	98	60	92	114	99	11	16
HALE	42	16	47	2	25	47	2	34	2	4
HALL	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
HAMILTON	2	1	8	0	1	6	0	4	0	1
HANSFORD	8	3	0	2	5	3	2	3	0	0
HARDEMAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	39	18	30	3	19	38	5	28	2	8
HARRIS	5,287	3,192	2,731	7,874	3,305	2,850	7,638	5,300	1,369	488
HARRISON	78	17	50	19	29	35	17	83	1	12
HARTLEY	4	4	3	1	4	1	4	3	1	0
HASKELL	2	1	3	0	2	2	0	2	0	0
HAYS	155	90	176	159	86	169	142	183	22	12
HEMPHILL	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
HENDERSON	41	22	42	10	19	31	9	57	4	2
HIDALGO	768	501	491	363	439	475	352	859	194	174
HILL	36	19	3	16	19	3	22	30	9	1
HOCKLEY	44	22	18	2	24	19	2	41	5	6
HOOD	27	27	48	50	13	40	54	45	0	7
HOPKINS	42	20	16	2	25	29	3	23	1	10
HOUSTON	21	11	13	3	15	12	4	17	2	2
HOWARD	52	30	12	22	37	16	23	41	9	1
HUDSPETH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNT	97	58	8	138	50	4	142	105	6	1
HUTCHINSON	29	8	30	18	14	30	17	24	9	11
IRION	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
JACK	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
JACKSON	49	23	8	0	35	10	0	35	18	3

	Juveni	le Probatio	on Supervis	ion Workl	oad Activit	y by Count	y – Calend	ar Year	2015	
	ъ	Ad	dded during Peri	od	Ex	ited During Per	iod	F 1 6	Unsuccessful	Terminations
	Beginning of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	End of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution
JASPER	37	14	6	31	14	13	29	32	4	1
JEFF DAVIS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
JEFFERSON	221	135	102	42	140	88	45	227	16	19
JIM HOGG	6	4	13	0	3	12	0	8	2	3
JIM WELLS	89	10	79	101	12	106	97	64	3	32
JOHNSON	119	63	78	59	84	69	57	110	10	5
JONES	11	8	2	6	4	7	5	11	0	1
KARNES	19	4	14	12	3	17	13	16	0	2
KAUFMAN	58	52	55	76	41	51	82	67	6	6
KENDALL	17	11	18	0	13	13	0	20	0	1
KENEDY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KERR	76	44	28	57	51	36	57	61	12	11
KIMBLE	4	3	4	5	0	5	1	10	0	1
KING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KINNEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KLEBERG	19	27	45	2	10	52	3	28	4	7
KNOX	1	0	4	0	1	0	0	4	0	0
LAMAR	28	17	22	13	19	25	13	23	2	5
LAMB	10	6	24	12	3	25	8	16	1	7
LAMPASAS	26	7	22	12	13	23	10	21	3	2
LASALLE	8	4	10	3	1	7	6	11	0	1
LAVACA	21	9	1	10	12	10	10	9	3	1
LEE	14	7	12	9	12	14	7	9	0	1
LEON	5	5	8	4	2	8	5	7	0	0
LIBERTY	48	15	33	6	28	41	7	26	15	7
LIMESTONE	31	9	13	1	15	18	2	19	1	4
LIPSCOMB	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0
LIVE OAK	4	3	6	2	4	1	2	8	0	0
LLANO	12	1	12	10	4	13	5	13	1	3
LOVING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LUBBOCK	372	259	147	331	222	140	170	577	32	39
LYNN	1	4	13	0	1	8	0	9	0	3
MCCULLOCH	5	5	17	13	2	8	13	17	0	1
MCLENNAN	455	156	195	442	193	195	433	430	44	37
MCMULLEN	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
MADISON	4	2	3	2	2	3	3	3	1	0
MARION	5	7	4	0	0	3	5	8	0	1
MARTIN	1	2	4	4	3	4	4	0	1	1
MASON	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

	Juvenile	Probation	Supervisio	n Workloa	nd Activity	by County	– Calenda	ar Year	2015	
	n · ·	Ad	ded during Peri	od	Ex	ited During Per	iod	F 1 6	Unsuccessful	Terminations
	Beginning of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	End of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution
MATAGORDA	66	25	49	24	25	62	27	50	5	5
MAVERICK	68	29	59	12	29	65	14	60	7	13
MEDINA	25	9	38	15	9	37	18	23	3	7
MENARD	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	0
MIDLAND	132	95	117	108	91	112	99	154	6	25
MILAM	23	17	23	26	17	19	31	22	3	1
MILLS	3	0	0	2	1	2	0	2	0	0
MITCHELL	5	3	8	9	3	3	5	14	0	0
MONTAGUE	10	7	9	4	4	8	3	15	0	0
MONTGOMERY	405	204	26	793	210	26	799	397	67	6
MOORE	80	28	14	37	42	17	37	63	15	5
MORRIS	5	2	2	7	0	5	3	8	0	1
MOTLEY	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
NACOGDOCHES	58	30	22	60	39	24	51	56	4	2
NAVARRO	61	24	53	25	24	49	36	54	9	5
NEWTON	10	2	7	12	5	9	10	7	0	0
NOLAN	21	12	19	23	3	18	25	29	1	4
NUECES	400	96	206	596	136	211	635	317	57	60
OCHILTREE	17	12	28	15	13	26	14	19	0	8
OLDHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	53	42	9	20	40	27	21	36	4	10
PALO PINTO	18	7	17	4	11	19	1	15	3	2
PANOLA	5	5	2	9	3	2	8	8	0	0
PARKER	58	28	76	4	20	72	4	71	6	8
PARMER	6	0	2	0	1	4	0	3	0	0
PECOS	51	21	4	25	23	13	38	27	1	1
POLK	40	30	46	37	27	43	39	44	1	4
POTTER	205	53	42	80	75	45	68	192	8	7
PRESIDIO	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	0
RAINS	6	5	2	0	6	3	0	4	0	0
RANDALL	145	75	63	71	90	60	74	130	7	7
REAGAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REAL	1	0	9	0	0	10	0	0	0	0
RED RIVER	11	6	5	3	7	3	1	14	1	1
REEVES	24	6	13	30	8	13	35	17	0	1
REFUGIO	9	4	2	3	1	4	4	9	0	0
ROBERTS	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
ROBERTSON	16	8	8	2	4	10	4	16	1	1
ROCKWALL	31	34	14	62	26	18	60	37	0	2
RUNNELS	7	0	3	11	1	5	6	9	0	0

	Juvenile 1	Probation S	Supervision	n Workloa	d Activity	by County	– Calendaı	r Year	2015	
	Danimaina	Ad	ded during Peri	od	Exi	ited During Peri	od	End of	Unsuccessful	Terminations
	Beginning of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution
RUSK	23	9	38	2	12	41	1	18	0	4
SABINE	3	2	8	13	0	7	11	8	0	0
SAN AUGUSTINE	4	0	2	0	1	3	2	0	0	0
SAN JACINTO	8	10	15	17	6	13	19	12	1	1
SAN PATRICIO	96	35	135	82	40	144	77	89	6	53
SAN SABA	6	1	3	4	3	6	3	2	0	2
SCHLEICHER	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
SCURRY	17	8	22	1	6	22	1	19	2	3
SHACKELFORD	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
SHELBY	6	2	27	9	0	22	8	14	0	1
SHERMAN	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
SMITH	191	178	102	59	142	85	74	229	20	14
SOMERVELL	4	1	3	0	4	2	0	3	0	0
STARR	106	49	80	51	61	81	51	93	8	4
STEPHENS	14	9	3	10	14	0	11	11	1	0
STERLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STONEWALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUTTON	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0
SWISHER	17	7	5	0	7	11	0	11	2	2
TARRANT	1,150	536	502	742	698	550	725	958	95	114
TAYLOR	153	66	178	52	60	175	67	149	12	34
TERRELL	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
TERRY	16	7	25	1	11	11	0	28	3	2
THROCKMORTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
TITUS	29	11	27	28	7	42	20	26	2	7
TOM GREEN	129	50	137	182	51	145	173	130	16	16
TRAVIS	1,240	417	612	1,476	623	615	1,599	908	150	127
TRINITY	4	5	9	6	4	5	6	9	0	1
TYLER	10	3	19	7	1	19	7	12	0	0
UPSHUR	31	10	19	9	21	25	8	15	6	3
UPTON	2	0	3	7	0	2	4	6	0	0
UVALDE	31	11	43	2	12	44	3	28	1	2
VAL VERDE	124	112	60	17	77	76	14	147	17	0
VAN ZANDT	28	6	40	1	6	47	1	21	0	9
VICTORIA	106	82	26	71	76	44	54	111	23	15
WALKER	44	43	32	48	25	28	56	58	4	4
WALLER	15	3	7	1	7	8	0	11	0	0
WARD	30	14	22	15	16	30	15	20	9	11
WASHINGTON	44	10	33	34	14	41	29	37	5	11
WEBB	314	162	134	0	243	149	0	220	90	31

	Juveni	le Probatio	n Supervis	ion Worklo	oad Activity	y by Count	y – Calend	ar Year	2015	
	Beginning	Ac	ded during Peri	od	Ex	ited During Per	iod	End of	Unsuccessful	Terminations
	of Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution	Pre- Disposition	Period	Adjudicated Probation	Deferred Prosecution
WHARTON	34	38	14	85	30	17	86	38	6	4
WHEELER	2	4	7	0	4	1	0	8	1	0
WICHITA	177	56	100	198	74	118	203	137	8	14
WILBARGER	11	3	8	1	2	7	2	12	0	0
WILLACY	80	26	38	74	43	39	72	64	3	6
WILLIAMSON	293	149	374	236	151	318	249	336	32	64
WILSON	31	25	30	21	19	31	21	36	4	7
WINKLER	17	5	5	2	6	6	1	19	0	0
WISE	39	31	24	3	33	30	2	32	1	4
WOOD	35	16	13	17	26	13	19	23	1	1
YOAKUM	3	1	3	1	1	2	1	4	0	0
YOUNG	28	13	19	13	16	23	14	20	1	3
ZAPATA	38	3	53	5	5	77	4	13	1	17
ZAVALA	7	1	14	14	2	11	12	11	2	4
TOTAL	25,635	13,854	15,951	22,268	14,812	16,336	22,046	24,580	3,790	3,229

Appendix E: Detention and Residential Placement Activity

							Juvenile	e Dete i	ntion a	and Ro	esidentia	l Place	ment Ac	tivity							
						Sta	tewide S	umma	ry of	Calen	dar Yea	r 2015]	Reported	l Activi	ity						
	Alleged Delinquent Behavior																				
	Felony Offenses Class A & B Misdemeanors Contempt Total																Violation				
	Hom	Att. Hom	Sex Aslt	Robbery	Assault	Other Violent	Burglary	Theft	Other Prop	Drug Offs	Weapon Offs	Other Felony	Weapon Offs	Asslt	Theft	Other Prop	Drug Offs	Other Misd.	of Magistrate	Total Delinquent	of Court Order
Total Detentions	54	1	867	1,031	2,773	28	1,874	1,537	557	778	268	904	224	5,537	1,769	1,190	2,796	2,988	414	25,590	8,443
< = 24 Hours	2	0	140	117	557	4	410	329	147	182	67	191	40	1,947	842	388	997	1,055	322	7,737	844
2 - 10 Days	4	0	224	155	842	9	540	372	184	242	86	288	76	1,772	418	337	916	783	66	7,314	2,352
> 10 Days	48	1	503	759	1,374	15	924	836	226	354	115	425	108	1,818	509	465	883	1,150	26	10,539	5,247
Total Placements	6	1	403	310	495	6	589	428	122	166	37	184	35	609	273	226	415	449	169	4,923	2,504
Non-Secure	2	1	224	81	223	2	266	171	65	70	18	86	11	327	126	102	243	209	168	2,395	1,149
Secure	4	0	179	229	272	4	323	257	57	96	19	98	24	282	147	124	172	240	1	2,528	1,355

		Juv	enile Dete	ntion an	d Residen	tial Place	ement Ac	tivity							
	Statewide Summary of Calendar Year 2015 Reported Activity														
	Alleged CINS Behavior														
	Status Only Other than Status Only														
	Truancy Runaway Alt Ed Expuls Prop CINS Disd Condct CINS Drugs CINS Liquor Laws Offenses CINS Sex Other CINS Not Speed Total CINS														
Total Detentions	19	852	11	11	3	4	3	5	44	151	1,103	35,136			
< = 24 Hours	12	681	6	2	1	0	0	1	19	22	744	9,325			
2 - 10 Days	5	123	5	3	2	1	0	1	6	56	202	9,868			
> 10 Days	2	48	0	6	0	3	3	3	19	73	157	15,943			
Total Placements	6 833 5 11 4 1 2 2 279 12 1,155														
Non-Secure	6	827	5	9	4	0	2	1	278	10	1,142	4,686			
Secure	0	6	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	2	13	3,896			

		Detent	ions and	l Placemen	ts by Of	fense Cat	tegory –	Calendar	Year 20	015		
		Dota	entions					Place	ments			
		Den	.1140115			Non-	Secure			Sec	cure	
	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Detentions	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Non- Secure	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Secure
ANDERSON	22	32	0	54	5	3	0	8	4	1	0	5
ANDREWS	14	36	0	50	2	4	0	6	1	4	0	5
ANGELINA	37	93	0	130	11	10	0	21	3	1	0	4
ARANSAS	8	7	0	15	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0
ARCHER	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATASCOSA	12	58	0	70	1	10	0	11	2	7	0	9
AUSTIN	5	13	2	20	1	4	0	5	1	2	0	3
BAILEY	4	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
BANDERA	4	7	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BASTROP	30	62	1	93	9	10	0	19	1	3	0	4
BAYLOR	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEE	8	25	0	33	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	0
BELL	63	135	2	200	6	15	0	21	10	16	0	26
BEXAR	514	1,827	38	2,379	39	121	8	168	54	177	0	231
BLANCO	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1
BORDEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOSQUE	4	2	0	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
BOWIE	59	110	0	169	12	9	0	21	11	7	0	18
BRAZORIA	169	912	12	1,093	7	15	0	22	17	92	0	109
BRAZOS	132	498	159	789	6	31	3	40	11	22	0	33
BREWSTER	3	4	0	7	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
BRISCOE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROOKS	3	7	0	10	0	3	0	3	0	4	0	4
BROWN	15	20	0	35	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	3
BURLESON	5	9	0	14	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
BURNET	6	15	0	21	10	4	2	16	1	0	0	1
CALDWELL	16	77	0	93	1	6	0	7	5	3	0	8
CALHOUN	15	49	0	64	3	2	0	5	0	9	0	9
CALLAHAN	4	7	0	11	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
CAMERON	434	507	9	950	16	57	0	73	33	69	0	102
CAMP	16	2	0	18	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CARSON	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
CASS	8	4	0	12	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	3
CASTRO	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CHAMBERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHEROKEE	11	21	0	32	2	4	0	6	4	0	0	4
CHILDRESS	6	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
CLAY	3	2	0	5	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
COCHRAN	1	1	0	2	2	2	0	4	0	2	0	2

	De	tentions	and Pla	cements by	Offens	e Catego	ry – Ca	lendar Y	Year 201	15		
		D 4	4.					Place	ements			
		Dete	ntions			Non-S	ecure			Seci	ıre	
	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Detentions	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Non- Secure	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Secure
COKE	4	2	0	6	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1
COLEMAN	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
COLLIN	187	722	29	938	8	39	5	52	74	45	1	120
COLLINGSWORTH	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO	4	9	0	13	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4
COMAL	25	55	1	81	3	6	0	9	4	13	0	17
COMANCHE	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
CONCHO	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
COOKE	17	26	0	43	1	1	0	2	2	2	0	4
CORYELL	12	7	0	19	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	7
COTTLE	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRANE	2	14	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CROCKETT	4	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CROSBY	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CULBERSON	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DALLAM	0	4	1	5	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
DALLAS	1,185	1,864	31	3,080	253	660	1,007	1,920	215	308	3	526
DAWSON	5	4	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
DEAF SMITH	12	13	3	28	10	27	2	39	1	3	0	4
DELTA DENTON	4	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	174 20	482 53	32 0	688 73	0	30	0	44 2	17 1	64 8	0	81 9
DE WITT DICKENS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIMMIT	8	4	0	12	5	2	0	7	0	0	0	0
DONLEY	12	1	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
DUVAL	17	11	1	29	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
EASTLAND	5	5	0	10	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2
ECTOR	97	144	2	243	1	0	0	1	21	55	0	76
EDWARDS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ELLIS	50	78	0	128	9	5	0	14	3	1	0	4
EL PASO	265	982	39	1,286	4	17	0	21	15	70	1	86
ERATH	5	0	0	5	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
FALLS	26	50	1	77	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
FANNIN	17	11	0	28	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	1
FAYETTE	3	4	0	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
FISHER	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLOYD	1	5	0	6	0	4	0	4	0	1	0	1
FOARD	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FORT BEND	191	458	10	659	4	23	0	27	21	44	1	66
FRANKLIN	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Detention	ons and	Placements	s by Off	ense Cate	egory – (Calenda	r Year 2	2015		
		D-4-	4					Plac	ements			
		Dete	ntions			Non-S	ecure			Se	cure	
	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Detentions	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Non- Secure	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Secure
FREESTONE	9	11	2	22	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
FRIO	9	24	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GAINES	7	19	3	29	0	4	3	7	0	1	0	1
GALVESTON	196	444	0	640	2	7	0	9	9	18	0	27
GARZA	0	6	0	6	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1
GILLESPIE	1	3	0	4	1	3	1	5	1	2	0	3
GLASSCOCK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOLIAD	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GONZALES	11	21	0	32	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	1
GRAY	8	8	0	16	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	52	101	1	154	10	18	0	28	7	6	0	13
GREGG	44	91	9	144	4	6	0	10	6	4	0	10
GRIMES	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GUADALUPE	47	174	22	243	3	4	0	7	2	3	0	5
HALE	18	49	1	68	4	11	0	15	3	8	0	11
HALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAMILTON	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
HANSFORD	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
HARDEMAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HARDIN HARRIS	25	66	2	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HARRISON	1,297 63	2,189 124	179 16	3,665 203	65	220 12	0	285 15	434	666 7	0	1,101 10
HARTLEY	1	124	0	203	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HASKELL	2	2	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
HAYS	102	311	18	431	6	6	1	13	7	6	0	13
HEMPHILL	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HENDERSON	24	29	0	53	5	2	0	7	6	1	0	7
HIDALGO	376	750	37	1,163	76	144	2	222	92	120	2	214
HILL	8	36	7	51	2	1	3	6	2	5	0	7
HOCKLEY	10	21	0	31	0	3	0	3	0	6	0	6
HOOD	23	47	0	70	3	6	0	9	1	5	0	6
HOPKINS	6	34	12	52	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
HOUSTON	3	2	0	5	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1
HOWARD	28	57	2	87	1	3	0	4	4	3	0	7
HUDSPETH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNT	59	95	0	154	3	3	0	6	2	2	0	4
HUTCHINSON	6	20	0	26	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1
IRION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JACK	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JACKSON	8	10	1	19	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0

		Detenti	ons and	Placement	ts by Of	fense Ca	tegory –	- Calenda	r Year 2	2015		
		D-4-	49					Place	ements			
		Dete	entions			Non-	Secure			Se	cure	
	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Detentions	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Non- Secure	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Secure
JASPER	1	23	1	25	7	5	0	12	1	3	0	4
JEFF DAVIS	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
JEFFERSON	94	351	3	448	5	5	0	10	7	9	0	16
JIM HOGG	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
JIM WELLS	48	90	0	138	1	0	0	1	6	5	0	11
JOHNSON	44	90	2	136	6	13	0	19	3	7	0	10
JONES	9	14	0	23	3	0	0	3	1	1	0	2
KARNES	3	4	0	7	0	2	0	2	1	3	0	4
KAUFMAN	36	84	0	120	0	4	0	4	3	2	0	5
KENDALL	2	6	1	9	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2
KENEDY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KERR	20	106	6	132	1	5	0	6	4	9	0	13
KIMBLE	2	10	0	12	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
KING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KINNEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KLEBERG	23	19	0	42	1	3	0	4	1	1	0	2
KNOX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAMAR	14	20	0	34	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
LAMB	11	9	0	20	1	3	0	4	0	1	0	1
LAMPASAS	10	19	3	32	3	2	0	5	2	7	0	9
LASALLE	2	7	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAVACA	8	9	0	17	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
LEE	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEON	1	8	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
LIBERTY	14	5	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LIMESTONE	9	41	3	53	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
LIPSCOMB	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
LIVE OAK	3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LLANO	3	0	0	3	1	1	1	3	0	0	1	1
LUBBOCK	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	38	0	0	0
LUBBOCK LYNN	372	486 5	0	858	0		0	60		45 2	0	83
MCCULLOCH	6	6	0	6	1	1	-	2	0	3	0	3
	141	446	0 189	776	18	61	0 87	166	18	26	0	3 44
MCLENNAN MCMULLEN	141	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MADISON	2	6	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
MARION	4	5	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
MARTIN	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MASON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MASUN	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U

	I	Detention	s and P	Placements	by Offe	nse Cate	gory – (Calendar	Year 20)15		
		D-4-	4					Place	ements			
		Dete	entions			Non-S	Secure			Sec	cure	
	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Detentions	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Non- Secure	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Secure
MATAGORDA	15	16	0	31	3	1	0	4	6	4	0	10
MAVERICK	31	26	5	62	5	10	1	16	2	0	0	2
MEDINA	8	20	0	28	2	2	0	4	1	1	0	2
MENARD	0	3	1	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
MIDLAND	141	384	1	526	6	4	0	10	10	7	0	17
MILAM	14	29	6	49	2	0	0	2	3	5	0	8
MILLS	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MITCHELL	5	1	0	6	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
MONTAGUE	5	4	0	9	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	2
MONTGOMERY	218	466	2	686	7	21	0	28	6	12	0	18
MOORE	21	25	0	46	0	12	0	12	3	8	0	11
MORRIS	3	5	0	8	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2
MOTLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NACOGDOCHES	35	59	1	95	13	15	0	28	2	3	0	5
NAVARRO	18	8	0	26	3	2	0	5	2	0	0	2
NEWTON	2	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NOLAN	11	14	0	25	3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0
NUECES	142	396	5	543	7	5	0	12	21	16	0	37
OCHILTREE	8	18	0	26	3	3	0	6	0	0	0	0
OLDHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE	25	53	3	81	1	5	0	6	0	4	0	4
PALO PINTO	6	10	0	16	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
PANOLA	6	4	1	11	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	3
PARKER	17	43	1	61	0	8	1	9	5	8	0	13
PARMER	2	1	0	3	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
PECOS	7	8	1	16	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	3
POLK	13	18	0	31	6	5	0	11	1	2	0	3
POTTER	108	204	1	313	15	24	0	39	21	19	0	40
PRESIDIO	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RAINS	7	7	1	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RANDALL	65	137	0	202	14	23	1	38	6	13	1	20
REAGAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RED RIVER	1	7	0	8	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0
REEVES	9	26	4	39	0	2	0	2	0	6	0	6
REFUGIO	2	2	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2
ROBERTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROBERTSON	10	13	0	23	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	3
ROCKWALL	14	39	0	53	2	5	0	7	2	1	0	3
RUNNELS	3	1	1	5	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1

	De	tentions	and Pla	cements by	Offens	e Catego	ry – Ca	lendar Y	ear 201	5		
		D-4-	4					Placer	nents			
		Dete	ntions			Non-S	Secure			Sec	ure	
	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Detentions	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Non- Secure	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Secure
RUSK	9	22	0	31	4	4	0	8	0	0	0	0
SABINE	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
SAN AUGUSTINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAN JACINTO	7	11	0	18	2	1	0	3	0	3	0	3
SAN PATRICIO	43	103	0	146	3	8	0	11	3	3	0	6
SAN SABA	1	4	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2
SCHLEICHER	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCURRY SHACKEL FORD	14	13	3	30	6	2	3	11	5	1	0	6
SHACKELFORD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SHELBY SHERMAN	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SMITH	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOMERVELL	91	144	1	236	11	10	0	21	12	5	0	17
STARR	0	2 174	0	260	0	1	0	28	0	0 11	0	0
STEPHENS	66 4		20		8	20	0	0	9	5	0	20
STERLING	0	11 0	0	15 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
STONEWALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUTTON	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SWISHER	4	17	0	21	2	2	1	5	2	2	0	4
TARRANT	493	827	20	1,340	66	140	0	206	8	30	0	38
TAYLOR	89	181	1	271	15	11	0	26	9	2	0	11
TERRELL	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TERRY	4	26	0	30	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	4
THROCKMORTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TITUS	20	20	0	40	2	1	0	3	2	0	0	2
TOM GREEN	51	214	1	266	16	26	0	42	2	6	0	8
TRAVIS	587	1,097	24	1,708	119	85	1	205	92	42	0	134
TRINITY	3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TYLER	4	7	0	11	2	4	1	7	0	0	0	0
UPSHUR	16	13	1	30	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	5
UPTON	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UVALDE	3	6	1	10	2	1	0	3	0	2	1	3
VAL VERDE	27	31	1	59	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
VAN ZANDT	7	31	1	39	3	4	0	7	2	0	0	2
VICTORIA	67	308	0	375	13	13	0	26	0	8	0	8
WALKER	10	43	0	53	2	2	0	4	0	1	0	1
WALLER	10	11	0	21	3	2	0	5	0	1	0	1
WARD	5	7	11	23	1	0	2	3	5	1	1	7
WASHINGTON	16	11	1	28	3	2	0	5	1	2	0	3
WEBB	296	703	83	1,082	3	2	0	5	8	3	0	11

		Detenti	ons and	Placement	s by Of	fense Ca	tegory –	Calendar Y	Year 201	15		
		Doto	entions					Placem	ents			
		Dete	enuons			Non	-Secure			Sec	ure	
	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Detentions	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Non- Secure	Felony	MisdAB & VOP	CINS/ Status	Total Secure
WHARTON	20	36	0	56	2	0	0	2	3	3	0	6
WHEELER	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
WICHITA	112	297	0	409	4	10	0	14	1	2	0	3
WILBARGER	0 12 0 12				0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
WILLACY	8	15	0	23	4	8	0	12	6	3	0	9
WILLIAMSON	213	598	0	811	64	42	0	106	29	10	0	39
WILSON	8	26	0	34	0	8	0	8	3	2	0	5
WINKLER	0	6	0	6	0	2	0	2	0	5	0	5
WISE	4	17	0	21	0	4	1	5	1	2	0	3
WOOD	5	23	0	28	2	2	0	4	0	4	0	4
YOAKUM	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
YOUNG	2 12 1 15				4	5	0	9	1	4	0	5
ZAPATA	18	14	0	32	2	0	0	2	1	3	0	4
ZAVALA	10	10	1	21	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	10,672	23,361	1,103	35,136	1,209	2,335	1,142	4,686	1,538	2,345	13	3,896